

N and N'-mediated recognition confers resistance to tomato brown rugose fruit virus

Antoine Pelletier¹, Peter Moffett^{1§}

¹Centre SÈVE, Département de Biologie, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada [§]To whom correspondence should be addressed: peter.moffett@usherbrooke.ca

Abstract

Tomato brown rugose fruit virus (ToBRFV) is an emerging tobamovirus that overcomes the $Tm-2^2$ resistance gene used in commercial tomato plants to protect against tobamoviruses. In this article, we show that ToBRFV is recognised through its P50 replicase fragment by the resistance gene N in N. *tabacum*, which triggers a hypersensitive response (HR). We also demonstrate that the N' gene provides protection against ToBRFV through recognition of the viral coat protein without triggering a typical HR in N. *tabacum*.



Figure 1. (A) *N. tabacum* cultivars Samsun *NN* (NN), Samsun *nn* (nn), BY-2 and W38 were rub-inoculated with TMV or ToBRFV, as indicated, on two leaves. Photographs were taken 4 and 19 days post-inoculation (DPI), as indicated, to show local and systemic symptoms, respectively. (B) Presence or absence of viral transcripts in local and systemic tissues from (A) was assessed by RT-PCR using virus-specific primers (virus), as indicated. RNA quality was assessed by RT-PCR using primers specific to the *L25* gene transcript. (C) The four cultivars used in (A) were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* carrying binary vectors expressing the P50 fragment, the coat protein (CP), and the movement protein of ToBRFV (MP), as well as the TMV P50 fragment, the ToMV CP and an empty vector (EV), as indicated in the schematic diagram. All six infiltration spots in *N. benthamiana* leaves were co-infiltrated with an extra strain carrying a binary vector expressing the *N'* protein. *N. tabacum* and *N. benthamiana* leaves were photographed at 5 and 10 DPI, respectively.

Resistance to ToBRFV in tobacco

Description

Members of the virus genus *Tobamovirus* are composed of single stranded positive RNA encapsulated in a cylindrical shaped particle composed of coat protein (CP) subunits assembled around the genomic RNA (Ishibashi and Ishikawa 2016). To defend against pathogens, plants encode large numbers of disease resistance (R) genes that code for nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat (NLR) proteins, which recognize specific pathogen-encoded proteins. Activation of these receptors by the latter induces a defense response that can result in localised cell death, known as the hypersensitive response (HR) (Dalio et al. 2021). Tomato brown rugose fruit virus (ToBRFV) was reported in 2014 (Salem et al. 2016; Luria et al. 2017) and has rapidly become an important threat to greenhouse tomato cultivation, transmitted via contaminated seed and mechanical contact (Zhang et al. 2022). The tomato $Tm-2^2$ gene confers resistance to most tomato-infecting tobamoviruses through recognition of tobamoviral movement proteins (MP) (Weber and Pfitzner 1998). However, changes in the ToBRFV MP allow it to overcome this resistance, albeit at the cost of slower cell-to-cell movement, resulting in attenuated systemic infection (Yan et al. 2021; Hak and Spiegelman 2021). In addition to $Tm-2^2$, several other solanaceous R genes confer resistance to tobamoviruses, including the tobacco *N* and *N*' genes, which recognize the tobamoviral P50 replicase fragment and CP, respectively (Sekine et al. 2012; Erickson et al. 1999).

To test if ToBRFV is recognized by tobacco tobamoviral-recognizing NLRs, we used four different N. tabacum cultivars, including W38, which contains no tobamovirus-specific R gene. Samsun NN expresses the N resistance gene that confers resistance to tobacco mosaic virus (TMV), whereas Samsun nn does not. BY-2 possesses the N' resistance gene whose gene product recognises the CP of multiple tobamoviruses, including that of ToMV, but very few TMV strains (Sekine et al., 2012). Plants were rub-inoculated with sap containing TMV or ToBRFV and photographed at 4 days post-inoculation (DPI) and 19 DPI to document local and systemic infection progression, respectively. Four days after inoculation with TMV or ToBRFV, little to no symptoms were apparent on the cultivars W38, Samsun *nn* and BY-2, apart for some light chlorosis in ToBRFVinoculated BY-2 and Samsun nn (Fig 1A). At 19 DPI, both viruses had caused systemic infections in the cultivars Samsun nn and W38, as evidenced by mottling and chlorosis in newly emerged, non-inoculated leaves. As expected, inoculation of the BY-2 cultivar with TMV did not result in HR lesions on inoculated leaves and did result in systemic chlorosis and mottling (Fig 1A). In contrast, ToBRFV inoculation on BY-2 leaves resulted in chlorotic lesions rather than the necrotic HR lesions typically seen in Samsun NN (Fig. 1A). Nonetheless, no systemic symptoms were observed in systemic BY-2 leaves 19 days after inoculation with ToBRFV, indicating that this cultivar is resistant to ToBRFV (Fig. 1A). Leaves of Samsun NN inoculated with TMV or ToBRFV showed HR lesions on locally infected leaves and no signs of infection in systemic leaves were observed, indicating that the N resistance gene prevented the spread of the virus throughout the plants. The presence or absence of viral transcripts in local and systemic tissues was validated by RT-PCR amplification of the CP coding sequence (Fig. 1B). Consistent with the observed symptoms, robust amplification of viral RNA was detected in systemic tissues of W38 and Samsun nn, but not in BY-2 or Samsun NN (Fig. 1B). A very weak amplification of ToBRFV was observed in Samsun NN systemic tissues, possibly due to residual virions originating from infected leaves or simply due to the ease of contamination of ToBRFV through mechanical handling (Zhang et al. 2022). Nonetheless, given the combination of infection and RT-PCR results, we conclude that the *N* and *N*' genes effectively confer resistance to ToBRFV.

To complement infection assays, ToBRFV proteins were expressed in leaves of the different tobacco cultivars using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transient expression (agroinfiltration). Expression of the P50 fragments from both TMV and ToBRFV induced strong HR reactions in Samsun *NN* plants at 5DPI (Fig. 1C), indicating that the P50 replicase fragment of ToBRFV is recognized by the N protein in the same way as the P50 fragment from TMV. In contrast, transient expression of ToMV or ToBRFV CP did not induce HR in BY-2 leaves. Although this is unexpected, given the resistance to ToBRFV observed in the BY-2 (Fig 1A), co-expression of NLRs and the proteins they recognize, including Tm-22 and the TMV MP, does not always result in an HR in all species (Bhattacharjee et al. 2009). Recognition of tobamoviral CP was thus validated by transient co-expression in *N. benthamiana*. In this assay, co-expression of *N*' with the TMV or ToBRFV CP, but not the MP or P50 proteins, resulted in an HR (Fig 1C), thus demonstrating that the N' protein does indeed recognize the CP of these viruses.

We conclude that both the *N* and *N*' genes can confer resistance to ToBRFV through recognition of the viral P50 fragment and CP, respectively. Although resistance is achieved in both cases, it appears that *N*' mediated resistance does not induce a typical HR response in *N. tabacum*. These results demonstrate that genetically encoded resistance to ToBRFV exists based on typical NLR-encoding genes, indicating the potential for discovering or engineering resistance to this virus in tomato.

Methods

Plant and virus material

Nicotiana benthamiana and *Nicotiana tabacum* were grown in soil (BM6, Berger,) in growth chambers with a 12h dark, 12h light photoperiod at 22°C.

ToBRFV-infected tomato leaf samples were ground in 0.1M phosphate buffer (2mL g^{-1} of infected tissue) to produce a sap that was used to infect *N. benthamiana*. Additional sap was produced in the same manner with infected *N. benthamiana* tissue and was used for all experiments.

microPublication

BIOLOGY

Virus inoculation

6-week-old *N. tabacum* plants were inoculated using the sap produced from infected tissues and applied to two leaves on each plant along with silicon carbide (Alfa Aesar, 320 grit).

Plasmid construction

RNA extraction was performed on ToBRFV-infected tissues followed by reverse transcriptase (RT) using mmuLV reverse transcriptase to obtain cDNA, from which individual ToBRFV ORFs were amplified using specific primers with a 5' XbaI and a 3' SalI overhang. Inserts were than cloned into the pBIN61 plasmid with a 3' HA tag (Sacco et al. 2007) linearized with XbaI and SalI using T4 DNA ligase. P50 expression plasmid was previously described in Bhattacharjee et al. 2009 and ToMV CP expression vector was described in Hamel et al. 2016.

Transient expression

Binary vectors were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* C58C1 carrying the virulence helper plasmid pCH32 (Bendahmane et al. 1999) and used for agroinfiltration as previously described (Hamel et al. 2016). Individual strains were diluted to an optical density of 0.15 before being infiltrated into *N. tabacum* or *N. benthamiana* leaves using a needleless syringe.

Reagents

Table 1. Plant cultivar and bacterial strains used in this study					
Strain	Genotype	Source			
N. tabacum	N. tabacum Samsun NN	USDA GRIN			
N. tabacum	N. tabacum Samsun nn	USDA GRIN			
N. tabacum	N. tabacum BY-2	USDA GRIN			
N. tabacum	N. tabacum W38	USDA GRIN			
N. benthamiana	N. benthamiana WT	Baulcombe Laboratory			
C58C1 + virulence helper plasmid pCH32	Agrobacterium tumefaciens	Baulcombe Laboratory (Bendahmane et al. 1999)			

Table 2. Plasmids used in this study					
Plasmid	Description	Source			
pBIN61 (TMV P50)	Binary expression vector for TMV large replicase component under CaMV 35s promoter	Bhattacharjee et al. 2009			
pBIN61 (ToMV CP)	1 (ToMV Binary expression vector for ToMV CP under CaMV 35s promoter H				
pBIN61 (EV)	Binary expression vector with empty cloning site under CaMV 35s promoter	Hamel et al. 2016			



pBIN61 (ToBRFV P50)	Binary expression vector for ToBRFV large replicase component under CaMV 35s promoter	This study
pBIN61 (ToBRFV CP)	Binary expression vector for ToBRFV CP under CaMV 35s promoter	This study
pBIN61 (ToBRFV MP)	61 (ToBRFV Binary expression vector for ToBRFV movement protein under CaMV 35s promoter	
pBIN61 (N'-HA) Binary expression vector for <i>N</i> ' gene under CaMV 35s promoter.		Hamel et al. 2016

Table 3. Primers used in this study					
Target	Forward sequence	Reverse sequence	Purpose		
ToBRFV large replicase fragment	cctaggtctagagccac catgGAAATAGAGTCATTAG AGCAATTCC	ATTGCTGTCGACatatt gggtccctgcatc	Cloning viral sequences into pBIN61 Identification of viral transcripts in infected tissue RT-PCR		
ToBRFV CP	cctaggtctagaatgtcttacacaatcgcaactc	gctatagtcgacagaag atgcaggtgcag	Cloning viral sequences into pBIN61		
ToBRFV movement protein	cctaggtctagaatggctcttgttaagggtaaag	gctatagtcgacaaAAT ACGAATCTGAATCGGCG	Cloning viral sequences into pBIN61		
N. tabacum L25	cctccgtttcttcagcaacttc	ttttggccaacatccaactcac	Identification of <i>N. tabacum</i> reference gene in infected tissue RT- PCR		
TMV-U1	TCGAATTCAATATGTCT TACAGTATC	CCGTTCTAGATTATGCA TCTTGACT	Identification of viral transcripts in infected tissue RT-PCR		

Acknowledgements: We thank Mamadou Lamine Fall for providing ToBRFV-infected samples.

References

Bendahmane A, Kanyuka K, Baulcombe DC. 1999. The Rx gene from potato controls separate virus resistance and cell death responses. Plant Cell 11: 781-92. PubMed ID: <u>10330465</u>

Bhattacharjee S, Zamora A, Azhar MT, Sacco MA, Lambert LH, Moffett P. 2009. Virus resistance induced by NB-LRR proteins involves Argonaute4-dependent translational control. Plant J 58: 940-51. PubMed ID: <u>19220787</u>

Dalio, R. J. D., D. Paschoal, G. D. Arena, D. M. Magalhães, T. S. Oliveira, M. V. Merfa, H. J. Maximo, and M. A. Machado. 2021. Hypersensitive response: From NLR pathogen recognition to cell death response. Annals of Applied Biology 178:268–280. DOI: <u>10.1111/aab.12657</u>

Erickson FL, Holzberg S, Calderon-Urrea A, Handley V, Axtell M, Corr C, Baker B. 1999. The helicase domain of the TMV replicase proteins induces the N-mediated defence response in tobacco. Plant J 18: 67-75. PubMed ID: <u>10341444</u>

Hak H, Spiegelman Z. 2021. The Tomato Brown Rugose Fruit Virus Movement Protein Overcomes $Tm-2^2$ Resistance in Tomato While Attenuating Viral Transport. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 34: 1024-1032. PubMed ID: <u>33970669</u>



Hamel LP, Sekine KT, Wallon T, Sugiwaka Y, Kobayashi K, Moffett P. 2016. The Chloroplastic Protein THF1 Interacts with the Coiled-Coil Domain of the Disease Resistance Protein N' and Regulates Light-Dependent Cell Death. Plant Physiol 171: 658-74. PubMed ID: <u>26951433</u>

Ishibashi, K., Ishikawa, M. 2016. Replication of Tobamovirus RNA. 4;54:55-78. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-080615-100217

Luria N, Smith E, Reingold V, Bekelman I, Lapidot M, Levin I, et al., Dombrovsky A. 2017. A New Israeli Tobamovirus Isolate Infects Tomato Plants Harboring Tm-22 Resistance Genes. PLoS One 12: e0170429. PubMed ID: <u>28107419</u>

Sacco MA, Mansoor S, Moffett P. 2007. A RanGAP protein physically interacts with the NB-LRR protein Rx, and is required for Rx-mediated viral resistance. Plant J 52: 82-93. PubMed ID: <u>17655649</u>

Salem N, Mansour A, Ciuffo M, Falk BW, Turina M. 2016. A new tobamovirus infecting tomato crops in Jordan. Arch Virol 161: 503-6. PubMed ID: <u>26586328</u>

Sekine KT, Tomita R, Takeuchi S, Atsumi G, Saitoh H, Mizumoto H, et al., Kobayashi K. 2012. Functional differentiation in the leucine-rich repeat domains of closely related plant virus-resistance proteins that recognize common avr proteins. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 25: 1219-29. PubMed ID: <u>22690804</u>

Weber H, Pfitzner AJ. 1998. Tm-2(2) resistance in tomato requires recognition of the carboxy terminus of the movement protein of tomato mosaic virus. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 11: 498-503. PubMed ID: <u>9612948</u>

Yan ZY, Ma HY, Wang L, Tettey C, Zhao MS, Geng C, Tian YP, Li XD. 2021. Identification of genetic determinants of tomato brown rugose fruit virus that enable infection of plants harbouring the Tm-2² resistance gene. Mol Plant Pathol 22: 1347-1357. PubMed ID: <u>34390124</u>

Zhang S, Griffiths JS, Marchand G, Bernards MA, Wang A. 2022. Tomato brown rugose fruit virus: An emerging and rapidly spreading plant RNA virus that threatens tomato production worldwide. Mol Plant Pathol 23: 1262-1277. PubMed ID: <u>35598295</u>

Funding: This study was supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant to P.M. A.P. was supported by an NSERC undergraduate student research award by a bourse d'excellence from the Université de Sherbrooke.

Author Contributions: Antoine Pelletier: formal analysis, methodology, writing - review editing, writing - original draft, validation. Peter Moffett: conceptualization, formal analysis, methodology, supervision, writing - review editing.

Reviewed By: Anonymous

History: Received September 11, 2022 Revision Received October 7, 2022 Accepted October 27, 2022 Published Online October 29, 2022 Indexed November 12, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Pelletier, A; Moffett, P (2022). N and N'-mediated recognition confers resistance to tomato brown rugose fruit virus. microPublication Biology. <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.000660</u>