A common *SSD1* truncation is toxic to cells entering quiescence and promotes sporulation.

Linda Breeden^{1§}, Shawna Miles¹

¹Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Basic Science Division, Seattle, WA, USA

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed: lbreeden@fredhutch.org

Abstract

Ssd1p is an RNA binding protein in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that plays an important role in cell division, cell fate decisions, stress response and virulence. Lab strain W303 encodes the terminal truncation *ssd1-2*, which is typically interpreted to be a loss of function allele. We have shown that *ssd1-2* is toxic to *mpt5-* Δ mutants and to diploids entering stationary phase and quiescence. The *ssd1-* Δ null shows no toxicity, indicating that *ssd1-2* is disrupting an essential function that does not solely require Ssd1p. *ssd1-2* cells are also more sensitive to stress than *ssd1-* Δ . These phenotypes are recessive to *SSD1-1*. In contrast, *ssd1-2* plays a dominant role in promoting sporulation.





The genotypes used in each plot are indicated in the legend and the number of replicates is listed above, in legend order. (A and B) Percent of viable cells as a function of days after inoculation into rich glucose medium. (C) Innate tolerance to growth on plates containing the detergent Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS). (D) Acquired stress tolerance induced by treating cells for 30 minutes at 37° C, then shifting to 53° C for 30 minutes before making serial dilutions and plating. (E) Increased sensitivity of *ssd1-2* to SDS is recessive. Innate tolerance to SDS in diploids, genotypes indicated on the left. (F) Quiescence defect of *ssd1-2* is recessive. Percent of dense quiescent cells purified from seven day cultures. (G) Sporulation promoted by *ssd1-2* is dominant. Percent of spore-containing asci induced by limiting cells for nitrogen and glucose.

Description

Ssd1p is a highly conserved pseudonuclease in the Dis3/RNAse II family. Active site mutations have arisen multiple times but there has been broad conservation of other regions, indicating that it has evolved critical functions other than RNA cleavage (Ballou *et al.* 2021). Ssd1p has retained its RNA binding capacity and its 300 associated RNAs are highly enriched for cell

12/9/2022 - Open Access

wall and cell cycle transcripts (Hogan *et al.* 2008; Jansen *et al.* 2009; Hose *et al.* 2020). Full length *SSD1* rescues the viability of *sit4, swi4* and many other mutants, and these mutants die in the presence of the truncated allele (Breeden and Nasmyth 1987; Ogas *et al.* 1991; Sutton *et al.* 1991). Hence, the unconventional name *SSD1-V* was given to the full-length allele found in S288c, and *ssd1-d2* was given to the truncated allele found in the common lab strain W303. We refer to the S288c allele as *SSD1-1* and the W303 allele as *ssd1-2* (Miles *et al.* 2019). In most assays, the truncation *ssd1-2* has been interpreted to have a null phenotype. Terminal truncations of Ssd1p have arisen independently seven times in one thousand sequenced *S. cerevisiae* strains, and the *ssd1-2* truncation is the most common, as it arose in four of the seven strains (Peter *et al.* 2018).

We found that SSD1-1p and another RNA binding protein encoded by *MPT5* have parallel roles in promoting the survival of W303 haploids as glucose becomes limiting and one or the other is required for the successful transition to quiescence (Li *et al.* 2013; Miles *et al.* 2019). We also found that Ssd1-1p promotes survival and quiescence in W303 diploids. *ssd1-2ssd1-2* diploids cannot enter quiescence but they can sporulate, and introducing *SSD1-1* is sufficient to enable quiescence entry and disrupt sporulation. As with the *ssd1-2mpt5-* Δ haploid, the *ssd1-2/ssd1-2* diploid loses viability rapidly as glucose becomes limiting and survival can be rescued by the addition of trehalose (Miles *et al.* 2019). Trehalose serves as a protectant from oxidation, desiccation and other forms of cell wall stress (Elbein *et al.* 2003). Cell wall fortification is a key step in the formation of quiescent cells (Li *et al.* 2015), and eight of the cell wall mRNAs bound by Ssd1p are present at up to five-fold higher levels in haploids than in diploids have a limited supply of cell wall proteins, so our working hypothesis was that loss of Ssd1p function in protecting critical cell wall mRNAs may be lethal in diploids. In the smaller haploids, Mpt5p may compensate for its loss.

However, further study has shown that this is not the explanation. When we deleted Ssd1p, we expected to see the same lethality we observed with $ssd1-2mpt5-\Delta$ and ssd1-2/ssd1-2 diploids as they entered stationary phase, but that was not the case (Figure 1 A and B.) Eliminating SSD1 ($ssd1-\Delta$) rescued the viability of both strains (after 15 days, $ssd1-\Delta mpt5-\Delta$ versus $ssd1-2mpt5-\Delta$ p=0.0033, $ssd1\Delta/ssd1\Delta$ versus ssd1-2/ssd1-2 p=10⁻⁷). We conclude that ssd1-2p persists in an altered form that is toxic to cells as they transition from a proliferative to a non-proliferative state. This led us to ask if ssd1-2 has a more extreme phenotype in other contexts. Figure 1C shows that ssd1-2 is innately more sensitive to detergent than $ssd1-\Delta$. ssd1-2 is also more sensitive than $ssd1-\Delta$ to temperature stress. Cells can acquire thermo-tolerance if they are first incubated at 37° C to induce the heat shock response and then shifted to 53° C for 30 minutes. ssd1-2 is less thermo-tolerant than $ssd1-\Delta$ in this assay (Figure 1D). The innate stress tolerance phenotype of ssd1-2 is recessive (Figure 1E), indicating that it cannot override the SSD1-1 allele in this assay, but it is toxic on its own.

Surviving to quiescence (Breeden and Tsukiyama, 2022) and recovering from cell wall damage both involve activation of the <u>Cell Wall Integrity pathway</u> (Torres *et al.* 2002; Quilis *et al.* 2021). This pathway is constitutively activated in *ssd1-* Δ (Arias *et al.* 2011), but not in the *ssd1-*2 strain (Stewart *et al.* 2007). CWI is also not activated in *ssd1-2mpt5-* Δ , because one of Mpt5p's primary targets of inhibition is Lrg1p, which is a negative regulator of the CWI pathway (Stewart *et al.* 2007). To see if failure to activate CWI is responsible for the loss of viability during stationary phase, we deleted *LRG1* from *ssd1-2mpt5-* Δ . Figure 1A shows that releasing CWI from inhibition by Lrg1p rescues the viability of *ssd1-2mpt5* Δ at day 1, but they lose viability rapidly thereafter (P=0.0073 on day 1, P=0.043 after 15 days).

Ssd1p is also required for the Hsp104p-dependent disaggregation of proteins (Mir *et al.* 2009). This role in relieving proteostatic stress is important for longevity (Moreno and Aldea 2020) and aneuploidy tolerance (Hose *et al.* 2020), which is fairly common in *S. cerevisiae* (Peter *et al.* 2018). Hsp104p also functions in the disaggregation and propagation of prions (Chernoff *et al.* 1995). *ssd1-* Δ prevents Hsp104p-dependent disaggregation but *ssd1-*2 retains some activity (Mir *et al.* 2009). We wondered if the truncated *ssd1-*2 allele might deregulate, delocalize or otherwise modify Hsp104p disaggregation function and so result in lethality. Figure 1B shows that deleting *HSP104* has no discernible impact on the transition to stationary phase, nor does it rescue the lethality of *ssd1-2*.

Diploids survive periods of nutrient limitation either by entering quiescence or by sporulating and there are wild strains that take one or the other path exclusively (Miles *et al.* 2019). Ssd1p also plays a determining role in this decision. *ssd1-2/ssd1-2* produces one-tenth as many dense quiescent cells as *SSD1-1/SSD1-1* ($p=10^{-4}$) and one-fifth as many as *ssd1-\Delta/ssd1-\Delta* (p=0.0026), so it is more deleterious than the null mutant (Figure 1F). The *SSD1-1/ssd1-2* quiescent cell yield is equivalent to *SSD1-1/SSD1-1* (P=0.5462), so *ssd1-2* is recessive. In contrast, the sporulation promoted by *ssd1-2* is dominant (Figure 1G). *SSD1-1/ssd1-2* sporulates at a much higher rate than *SSD1-1/SSD1-1* (p=.0005) and approaches that of *ssd1-2/ssd1-2* (p=.08). This promotion of sporulation is clearly a property of *ssd1-2*, because *ssd1-\Delta/ssd1-\Delta* behaves just like *SSD1-1/SSD1-1* (p=.37).

Many things, including the ability to manage stress, tolerate aneuploidy, evade host defenses, enter quiescence and sporulate are influenced by the *SSD1* locus. These are responses to common environmental changes that affect survival. Polymorphisms in *SSD1*, particularly the *ssd1-2* truncation, significantly change the cellular response in many contexts, but the properties of

microPublication BIOLOGY

12/9/2022 - Open Access

this allele have not been systematically studied, because it has been interpreted to result in loss of Ssd1p function. Our data indicate that the ssd1-2 truncation is not a simple loss of function allele in several key assays. ssd1-2 is more detrimental than the $ssd1-\Delta$ null mutant in stress and in the transition to quiescence, and more beneficial than the null or the full-length Ssd1-1p for sporulation. ssd1-2 toxicity in response to stress is recessive, but its promotion of sporulation is dominant and could explain why this specific Ssd1p truncation arises in natural populations, especially those whose environments favor sporulation over quiescence. It would be of great interest to know what is unique about this ssd1-2p truncation and how it influences these and other cell fates.

Methods

Yeast Strains and Growth Conditions

All strains used are isogenic with BY6500, the prototrophic version of W303 (Li et al., 2009). Replacement of *ssd1-2* with *SSD1-1* was described in (Li et al., 2009). *SSD1* and *MPT5* were deleted using pFA6a-*HIS3MX6* and pFA6a-*KanMX*, respectively (Longtine et al. 1998). *HSP104* was deleted using pAG25 and pAG32 (Goldstein and McCusker, 1999). *LRG1* was deleted using pAG32 (Goldstein and McCusker, 1999).

Cell Processing

Viability assays over 15 days of growth and purification of quiescent cells were described in (Miles et al., 2016). Measures of induced sporulation were previously reported in (Miles et al., 2019). Two-tailed Students t-tests were used to determine significant differences therein. The log phase cultures for spotting assays were washed with water and diluted to an optical density (OD) of 1.0 in water, then they were further diluted to 4096 cells per 2μ L, using a Z2 Beckman Coulter Counter (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA). These cultures and four-fold serial dilutions thereof were spotted on YEPD plates (Miles et al., 2019) with SDS added when the plates were poured. The spots were grown for two days at 30°C. The acquired thermotolerance was determined by exposing the 1.0 OD cultures to 37°C for 30 minutes, shifting the cells to 53°C for 30 minutes, then ice for 10 minutes. The cells were diluted as stated above, spotted on YEPD plates, and grown for two days at 30°C.

Reagents

Strains used in this study

BY6500	MATa can1-100 rad5 ssd1-2
BY6563	MATa can1-100 rad5 ssd1Δ::HIS3
BY6641	MATa can1-100 rad5 SSD1-1
BY6672	MATa can1-100 rad5 ssd1-2 mpt5Δ::KanMX
BY6766	MATa can1-100 rad5 SSD1-1 mpt5Δ::KanMX
BY6946	MATa/MATalpha can1-100/can1-100 rad5/rad5 ssd1-2/ssd1-2
BY6962	MATa/MATalpha can1-100/can1-100 rad5/rad5 SSD1-1/SSD1-1
BY6976	MATa/MATalpha can1-100/can1-100 rad5/rad5 SSD1-1/ssd1-2
BY7881	MATa/MATalpha can1-100/can1-100 rad5/rad5 ssd1-2/ssd1Δ::HIS3
BY7883	MATa/MATalpha can1-100/can1-100 rad5/rad5 SSD1-1/ssd1Δ::HIS3
BY7887	MATa can1-100 rad5 ssd1Δ::HIS3 mpt5Δ::KanMX
BY7965	MATa/MATalpha can1-100/can1-100 rad5/rad5 ssd1Δ::HIS3/ssd1Δ::HIS3

12/9/2022 - Open Access

BY8292	MATa can1-100 rad5 ssd1-2 lrg1Δ::HPHMX4
BY8295	MATa can1-100 rad5 ssd1-2 mpt5Δ::KanMX lrg1Δ::HPHMX4
BY8297	MATa can1-100 rad5 SSD1-1 mpt5Δ::KanMX lrg1Δ::HPHMX4
BY8336	MATa/MATalpha can1-100/can1-100 rad5/rad5 ssd1-2/ssd1-2 hsp104Δ::HPHMX4/hsp104Δ::NATMX4
BY8338	MATa/MATalpha can1-100/can1-100 rad5/rad5 SSD1-1/SSD1-1 hsp104Δ::HPHMX4/hsp104Δ::NATMX4
BY8340	MATa/MATalpha can1-100/can1-100 rad5/rad5 ssd1Δ::HIS3/ssd1Δ::HIS3 hsp104Δ::HPHMX4/hsp104Δ::NATM

References

Arias P, Díez-Muñiz S, García R, Nombela C, Rodríguez-Peña JM, Arroyo J. 2011. Genome-wide survey of yeast mutations leading to activation of the yeast cell integrity MAPK pathway: novel insights into diverse MAPK outcomes. BMC Genomics 12: 390. PubMed ID: <u>21810245</u>

Ballou ER, Cook AG, Wallace EWJ. 2021. Repeated Evolution of Inactive Pseudonucleases in a Fungal Branch of the Dis3/RNase II Family of Nucleases. Mol Biol Evol 38: 1837-1846. PubMed ID: <u>33313834</u>

Breeden L, Nasmyth K. 1987. Cell cycle control of the yeast HO gene: cis- and trans-acting regulators. Cell 48: 389-97. PubMed ID: <u>3542227</u>

Breeden LL, Tsukiyama T. 2022. Quiescence in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Annu Rev Genet 56: 253-278. PubMed ID: <u>36449357</u>

Chernoff YO, Lindquist SL, Ono B, Inge-Vechtomov SG, Liebman SW. 1995. Role of the chaperone protein Hsp104 in propagation of the yeast prion-like factor [psi+]. Science 268: 880-4. PubMed ID: <u>7754373</u>

de Godoy LM, Olsen JV, Cox J, Nielsen ML, Hubner NC, Fröhlich F, Walther TC, Mann M. 2008. Comprehensive massspectrometry-based proteome quantification of haploid versus diploid yeast. Nature 455: 1251-4. PubMed ID: <u>18820680</u>

Elbein AD, Pan YT, Pastuszak I, Carroll D. 2003. New insights on trehalose: a multifunctional molecule. Glycobiology 13: 17R-27R. PubMed ID: <u>12626396</u>

Goldstein AL, McCusker JH. 1999. Three new dominant drug resistance cassettes for gene disruption in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 15: 1541-53. PubMed ID: <u>10514571</u>

Hogan DJ, Riordan DP, Gerber AP, Herschlag D, Brown PO. 2008. Diverse RNA-binding proteins interact with functionally related sets of RNAs, suggesting an extensive regulatory system. PLoS Biol 6: e255. PubMed ID: <u>18959479</u>

Hose J, Escalante LE, Clowers KJ, Dutcher HA, Robinson D, Bouriakov V, et al., Gasch AP. 2020. The genetic basis of aneuploidy tolerance in wild yeast. Elife 9: . PubMed ID: <u>31909711</u>

Jansen JM, Wanless AG, Seidel CW, Weiss EL. 2009. Cbk1 regulation of the RNA-binding protein Ssd1 integrates cell fate with translational control. Curr Biol 19: 2114-20. PubMed ID: <u>19962308</u>

Li L, Lu Y, Qin LX, Bar-Joseph Z, Werner-Washburne M, Breeden LL. 2009. Budding yeast SSD1-V regulates transcript levels of many longevity genes and extends chronological life span in purified quiescent cells. Mol Biol Cell 20: 3851-64. PubMed ID: <u>19570907</u>

Li L, Miles S, Melville Z, Prasad A, Bradley G, Breeden LL. 2013. Key events during the transition from rapid growth to quiescence in budding yeast require posttranscriptional regulators. Mol Biol Cell 24: 3697-709. PubMed ID: <u>24088570</u>

Li L, Miles S, Breeden LL. 2015. A Genetic Screen for Saccharomyces cerevisiae Mutants That Fail to Enter Quiescence. G3 (Bethesda) 5: 1783-95. PubMed ID: <u>26068574</u>

Longtine MS, McKenzie A 3rd, Demarini DJ, Shah NG, Wach A, Brachat A, Philippsen P, Pringle JR. 1998. Additional modules for versatile and economical PCR-based gene deletion and modification in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 14: 953-61. PubMed ID: <u>9717241</u>



12/9/2022 - Open Access

Miles S, Croxford MW, Abeysinghe AP, Breeden LL. 2016. Msa1 and Msa2 Modulate G1-Specific Transcription to Promote G1 Arrest and the Transition to Quiescence in Budding Yeast. PLoS Genet 12: e1006088. PubMed ID: <u>27272642</u>

Miles S, Li LH, Melville Z, Breeden LL. 2019. Ssd1 and the cell wall integrity pathway promote entry, maintenance, and recovery from quiescence in budding yeast. Mol Biol Cell 30: 2205-2217. PubMed ID: <u>31141453</u>

Mir SS, Fiedler D, Cashikar AG. 2009. Ssd1 is required for thermotolerance and Hsp104-mediated protein disaggregation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 29: 187-200. PubMed ID: <u>18936161</u>

Moreno DF, Aldea M. 2020. Proteostatic stress as a nodal hallmark of replicative aging. Exp Cell Res 394: 112163. PubMed ID: <u>32640194</u>

Ogas J, Andrews BJ, Herskowitz I. 1991. Transcriptional activation of CLN1, CLN2, and a putative new G1 cyclin (HCS26) by SWI4, a positive regulator of G1-specific transcription. Cell 66: 1015-26. PubMed ID: <u>1832336</u>

Peter J, De Chiara M, Friedrich A, Yue JX, Pflieger D, Bergström A, et al., Schacherer J. 2018. Genome evolution across 1,011 Saccharomyces cerevisiae isolates. Nature 556: 339-344. PubMed ID: <u>29643504</u>

Pir P, Gutteridge A, Wu J, Rash B, Kell DB, Zhang N, Oliver SG. 2012. The genetic control of growth rate: a systems biology study in yeast. BMC Syst Biol 6: 4. PubMed ID: <u>22244311</u>

Stewart MS, Krause SA, McGhie J, Gray JV. 2007. Mpt5p, a stress tolerance- and lifespan-promoting PUF protein in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, acts upstream of the cell wall integrity pathway. Eukaryot Cell 6: 262-70. PubMed ID: <u>17172436</u>

Sutton A, Immanuel D, Arndt KT. 1991. The SIT4 protein phosphatase functions in late G1 for progression into S phase. Mol Cell Biol 11: 2133-48. PubMed ID: <u>1848673</u>

Torres J, Di Como CJ, Herrero E, De La Torre-Ruiz MA. 2002. Regulation of the cell integrity pathway by rapamycinsensitive TOR function in budding yeast. J Biol Chem 277: 43495-504. PubMed ID: <u>12171921</u>

Quilis I, Gomar-Alba M, Igual JC. 2021. The CWI Pathway: A Versatile Toolbox to Arrest Cell-Cycle Progression. J Fungi (Basel) 7: . PubMed ID: <u>34947023</u>

Funding: FUNDING Support came from NIGMS R01GM120318 to L.L.B.

Author Contributions: Linda Breeden: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, resources, supervision, writing - original draft, writing - review editing. Shawna Miles: data curation, investigation, methodology, resources, validation.

Reviewed By: Anonymous

History: Received November 4, 2022 Revision Received December 6, 2022 Accepted December 7, 2022 Published Online December 9, 2022 Indexed December 23, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Breeden, L; Miles, S (2022). A common *SSD1* truncation is toxic to cells entering quiescence and promotes sporulation.. microPublication Biology. <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.000671</u>