Epitope tag-specific differences in the detection of COSA-1 marked crossover sites in *C. elegans* spermatocytes

Cori K. Cahoon¹, Celja J. Uebel², Anne M. Villeneuve², Diana E. Libuda^{1§}

¹Institute of Molecular Biology, Department of Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, USA

²Stanford University School of Medicine, Departments of Developmental Biology and Genetics, Stanford, CA, USA

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed: dlibuda@uoregon.edu

Abstract

Nascent crossover sites in *C. elegans* meiocytes can be cytologically detected using epitope-tagged versions of the procrossover protein COSA-1. In spermatocytes, differences exist between cytologically-detected and genetically-detected double crossover rates. Here, we examine nascent crossovers using both GFP- and OLLAS-tagged COSA-1. Similar to previous work, we find that most late pachytene spermatocytes display 5 COSA-1 foci, indicating one crossover per autosome bivalent. However, we detected more nuclei with >5 COSA-1 foci using OLLAS::COSA-1, reflecting some bivalents having 2 COSA-1 foci. These results demonstrate tag-specific differences in the detection of COSA-1 marked nascent crossovers in spermatocytes.



Figure 1. COSA-1 foci in late pachytene spermatocytes.

(A) Diagram of the *C. elegans* male germ line. Arrows indicate direction of meiotic progression. For this study, we subdivided pachytene into three equally sized regions based on the total length of pachytene: early (first third), mid (middle third), and late (last third) (See Methods). **(B)** Representative images of late pachytene nuclei with 5, 6, or 7 OLLAS::COSA-1 foci (yellow). **(C)** Bar graph indicating the percentages of late pachytene nuclei with ≤ 3 , 4, 5, 6, or 7 COSA-1 foci detected in strains expressing either OLLAS::COSA-1 or GFP::COSA-1. The numbers within each bar indicate the numbers of nuclei in each category from a total of 8 germ lines for OLLAS::COSA-1 and 7 germ lines for GFP::COSA-1 foci (yellow), HTP-3 (red, chromosome axis), and SYP-1 (blue, synaptonemal complex). White arrowheads indicate the unsynapsed partnerless *X* chromosome. The dashed line in the first column traces the path of a single synapsed chromosome pair with either one COSA-1 focus (top) or two COSA-1 foci (bottom). The chromosome pair with two COSA-1 foci is outlined in the inset shown at the right.



Description

During egg and sperm development in many organisms, homologous chromosome pairs must establish a crossover to ensure their proper orientation toward and segregation to opposite spindle poles during the first meiotic division. Despite the importance of crossovers for both spermatocyte and oocyte meiosis in most species, the number and distribution of crossovers along chromosomes is often sexually dimorphic (Drouaud et al. 2007; Lim et al. 2008; Gabdank and Fire 2014; Brick et al. 2018; Cahoon and Libuda 2019; Capilla-Perez et al. 2021). From genetic assays performed in C. elegans oocytes, each of the 6 homologous chromosome pairs typically undergoes only a single crossover, with double crossover meiotic products reported as very infrequent (Hodgkin et al. 1979; Nabeshima et al. 2004; Lim et al. 2008; Hayashi et al. 2010; Gabdank and Fire 2014; Li et al. 2018). Cytological assays in oocytes using a GFP tagged version of the pro-crossover protein COSA-1 (Yokoo et al. 2012) are consistent with the genetically-detected incidence of crossovers; 6 GFP::COSA-1 foci are detected in late pachytene nuclei, which reflects the accumulation of COSA-1 at a single crossover-designated site forming on each of the 6 homologous chromosome pairs (bivalents) (Yokoo et al. 2012; Libuda et al. 2013). Based on genetic assays detecting meiotic crossovers on Chromosomes I, IV, and V, double crossover events appear to occur more frequently in C. elegans male (XO) spermatocytes than in C. elegans hermaphrodite (XX) oocytes (Hodgkin et al. 1979; Henzel et al. 2011; Gabdank and Fire 2014; Li et al. 2020). Genetic assays have detected double-crossover meiotic products in spermatocyte meiosis at frequencies of 2.7%, 4.4%, and 1.7% for chromosomes I, IV, and V, which would imply double-crossover bivalents occurring at frequencies of 10.8%, 17.6% and 6.8%, respectively (Hodgkin et al. 1979; Gabdank and Fire 2014; Li et al. 2020). Based on these genetic data, cytological assays using markers such as COSA-1 might be expected to detect nuclei with one or more bivalents harboring two crossover-site foci at frequencies around 40%. However, cytological assessment of GFP::COSA-1 foci in spermatocyte meiosis has detected fewer nuclei with apparent double-crossover bivalents than predicted by the genetic data (Li et al. 2020), prompting us to evaluate whether different epitope tags on COSA-1 might influence the number of cytologically-detected double crossover events in spermatocyte meiosis.

We used immunofluorescence to visualize crossover-designated sites in spermatocytes using two different tagged versions of COSA-1: (1) GFP::COSA-1 expressed from a chromosomally-integrated transgene construct using the pie-1 promotor and the cosa-1 3' UTR (Yokoo et al. 2012); and (2) OLLAS::COSA-1 expressed from the endogenous cosa-1 locus modified using CRISPR/Cas9 to introduce the OLLAS tag (Janisiw et al. 2018). In oogenesis, both tagged versions of COSA-1 produce similar results displaying 6 COSA-1 foci in late pachytene (Janisiw *et al.* 2018). In spermatocytes, we found that a majority of nuclei displayed 5 COSA-1 foci with both tagged versions of COSA (Figure 1B,C), which is consistent with previous reports of a single crossover-designated site on each of the 5 autosome pairs (and none on the partnerless X chromosome) (Li et al. 2020). Further, the fraction of nuclei in which we detected >5 GFP::COSA-1 foci per nucleus (4.8%) was comparable to that observed by Li et al., who used native GFP fluorescence to detect GFP::COSA-1 expressed from a CRISPR-modified endogenous *cosa-1* locus (P = 0.14, Fisher's Exact test) (Li *et al.* 2020). However, we found that the fraction of late pachytene nuclei with >5 OLLAS::COSA-1 foci (17.6%) was significantly higher than the fraction of late pachytene nuclei with >5 GFP::COSA-1 foci (Figure 1B,C; P<0.0001, Fisher's Exact test). This difference in the incidence of late pachytene spermatocyte nuclei with >5 tagged COSA-1 foci may reflect: 1) differences in detection sensitivity for the two markers, potentially resulting from the presence of untagged COSA-1 protein expressed from the endogenous cosa-1 locus in the strain with the *qfp::cosa-1* transgene; and/or, 2) COSA-1 tag-specific effects on crossover designation in spermatocytes. Future studies examining spermatocyte COSA-1 foci, which are not uniform in size or intensity (Figure 1C), may provide insights into how specific tags influence the detection of COSA-1 foci.

We used super-resolution Structured Illumination Microscopy (SIM) combined with meiotic chromosome spreads (Woglar and Villeneuve 2018) to determine whether nuclei with >5 OLLAS::COSA-1 foci display single synapsed bivalents harboring two crossover-site foci. To visualize chromosome structures, we used antibodies detecting the chromosome axis protein HTP-3 (which forms two parallel tracks, one on each homologous chromosomes) and the synaptonemal complex protein SYP-1 (which forms a single track between the two HTP-3 tracks). This analysis confirmed the presence of individual synapsed bivalents with two OLLAS::COSA-1 foci (Figure 1D). Further, we did not observe OLLAS::COSA-1 foci on the unsynapsed hemizygous *X* chromosome, which assembles chromosome axes containing HTP-3 but does not load SYP proteins (Figure 1D) (Jaramillo-Lambert and Engebrecht 2010), consistent with previous work indicating a lack of designated crossover sites on the *X* chromosome in male spermatocytes (Checchi *et al.* 2014). Taken together, our results indicate that: 1) chromosome pairs harboring two crossover-designated recombination events in spermatocyte meiosis can be visualized using OLLAS::COSA-1; and, 2) COSA-1 exhibits epitope tag-specific differences in the detection of double crossover designated sites.

Methods



All worm strains were generated from N2 backgrounds and maintained as mating stocks at 20°C under standard conditions on nematode growth media (NGM) with lawns of *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*).

Immunohistochemistry and Chromosome Spreads

Immunofluorescence was performed as described in (Libuda *et al.* 2013; Cahoon and Libuda 2021). A detailed protocol can be found here: <u>https://www.protocols.io/view/immunofluorescence-for-c-elegans-gonads-xrgfm3w</u> (Roelens 2019). Chromosome spreads were performed in low salt dissection solution (0.1% v/v Tween-20 and 10% v/v Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (Gibco, 24020117) on an ethanol-washed Superfrost Microscope Slide (Fisher Scientific, 12-544-7) and were then prepared as described in (Pattabiraman *et al.* 2017).

<u>Microscopy</u>

Immunofluorescence slides of fixed gonad stained for GFP::COSA-1 or OLLAS::COSA-1 were imaged on a GE DeltaVision microscope with a 63x/N.A. 1.42 lens and 1.5x optivar at 1024x1024 pixel dimensions. Images were acquired using 0.2 µm Z-step size and deconvolved with softWoRx 7.2.2 deconvolution software. 3D-Structured Illumination Microscopy (SIM) was performed as described in (Pattabiraman *et al.* 2017) on a GE DeltaVision OMX Blaze microscopy system (Version 3.70.9622.0) at the Stanford University Cell Sciences Imaging Core Facility (RRID:SCR_017787). Images were acquired with a 100x/N.A. 1.40 oil objective at 512x512 pixel dimensions using 0.125 µm Z-step size. Images were SIM reconstructed and registration corrected with softWoRx. All images were max intensity Z-projected and slightly adjusted for brightness and contrast.

Quantification of COSA-1 foci

Imaged gonad were stitched together using the FIJI (NIH) plugin Stitcher (Release 3.1.9; Preibisch *et al.* 2009) and analyzed in Imaris 9.9 as described in (Toraason *et al.* 2021) with minor changes. The start of the pachytene zone was defined by the first row of nuclei that did not contain more than 1-2 nuclei with DNA in a polarized or crescent shape organization characteristic of the transition zone. The end of the pachytene zone was defined as the last row that contained predominantly pachytene nuclei with ≤ 1 nucleus exhibiting condensation zone nuclear organization (Shakes *et al.* 2009). The calculated length of the pachytene region was normalized per germline from 0 to 1. Then, the normalized pachytene length was equally divided into thirds and the nuclei within the last third (length: 0.67-1.0) were defined as late pachytene.

Statistical Analysis

Fisher's Exact statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad QuickCalcs (2023 GraphPad Software). The P value and test used is indicated in the Description section. All n values for nuclei and germlines scored are listed in the figure or figure legend.

Reagents

Strain	Genotype	Available from
AV630	meIs8[unc-119(+) pie-1promoter::gfp::cosa-1] II.	CGC
NSV97	cosa-1[ddr12(OLLAS::cosa-1)] III.	(Janisiw <i>et al</i> . 2018)

Antibody	Animal and Clonality	Description	Source
Anti-OLLAS	Rabbit, polyclonal	Use at 1:1,000 - 1:1,500	Genscript, A01658
Anti-GFP booster Alexa Fluor 488	N.A.	Use at 1:200	Chromotek, gb2AF488-50
Anti-SYP-1	Guinea Pig, polyclonal	Use at 1:200	(MacQueen <i>et al</i> . 2002)
Anti-HTP-3	Chicken, polyclonal	Use at 1:500	(MacQueen <i>et al</i> . 2005)



Anti-Chicken Alexa Fluor 405	Goat, polyclonal	Use at 1:200	Abcam, Ab175675
Anti-Rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (F(ab)'2 fragment)	Goat, polyclonal	Use at 1:1,000	ThermoFisher, A11070
Anti-Guinea Pig Alexa Fluor 555	Goat, polyclonal	Use at 1:1,000	ThermoFisher, A21435
Anti-Rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (whole antibody)	Goat, polyclonal	Use at 1:200	ThermoFisher, A11034

Acknowledgements: We thank the CGC for strains, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). We thank members of the Libuda Lab, specifically Nicole Kurhanewicz for experimental assistance and discussion on the manuscript. We thank JoAnne Engebrecht for insights and discussion on this manuscript.

References

Brick K, Thibault-Sennett S, Smagulova F, Lam KG, Pu Y, Pratto F, Camerini-Otero RD, Petukhova GV. 2018. Extensive sex differences at the initiation of genetic recombination. Nature 561: 338-342. PubMed ID: <u>30185906</u>

Cahoon CK, Libuda DE. 2019. Leagues of their own: sexually dimorphic features of meiotic prophase I. Chromosoma 128: 199-214. PubMed ID: <u>30826870</u>

Cahoon CK, Libuda DE. 2021. Conditional immobilization for live imaging *Caenorhabditis elegans* using auxin-dependent protein depletion. G3 (Bethesda) 11: jkab310. PubMed ID: <u>34534266</u>

Capilla-Pérez L, Durand S, Hurel A, Lian Q, Chambon A, Taochy C, et al., Mercier R. 2021. The synaptonemal complex imposes crossover interference and heterochiasmy in *Arabidopsis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118: e2023613118 . PubMed ID: <u>33723072</u>

Checchi PM, Lawrence KS, Van MV, Larson BJ, Engebrecht J. 2014. Pseudosynapsis and decreased stringency of meiotic repair pathway choice on the hemizygous sex chromosome of *Caenorhabditis elegans* males. Genetics 197: 543-60. PubMed ID: <u>24939994</u>

Drouaud J, Mercier R, Chelysheva L, Bérard A, Falque M, Martin O, et al., Mézard C. 2007. Sex-specific crossover distributions and variations in interference level along *Arabidopsis thaliana* chromosome 4. PLoS Genet 3: e106. PubMed ID: <u>17604455</u>

Gabdank I, Fire AZ. 2014. Gamete-type dependent crossover interference levels in a defined region of *Caenorhabditis elegans* chromosome *V*. G3 (Bethesda) 4: 117-20. PubMed ID: <u>24240780</u>

Hayashi M, Mlynarczyk-Evans S, Villeneuve AM. 2010. The synaptonemal complex shapes the crossover landscape through cooperative assembly, crossover promotion and crossover inhibition during *Caenorhabditis elegans* meiosis. Genetics 186: 45-58. PubMed ID: <u>20592266</u>

Henzel JV, Nabeshima K, Schvarzstein M, Turner BE, Villeneuve AM, Hillers KJ. 2011. An asymmetric chromosome pair undergoes synaptic adjustment and crossover redistribution during *Caenorhabditis elegans* meiosis: implications for sex chromosome evolution. Genetics 187: 685-99. PubMed ID: <u>21212235</u>

Hodgkin J, Horvitz HR, Brenner S. 1979. Nondisjunction Mutants of the Nematode *CAENORHABDITIS ELEGANS*. Genetics 91: 67-94. PubMed ID: <u>17248881</u>

Janisiw E, Dello Stritto MR, Jantsch V, Silva N. 2018. BRCA1-BARD1 associate with the synaptonemal complex and procrossover factors and influence RAD-51 dynamics during *Caenorhabditis elegans* meiosis. PLoS Genet 14: e1007653. PubMed ID: <u>30383754</u>

Jaramillo-Lambert A, Engebrecht J. 2010. A single unpaired and transcriptionally silenced X chromosome locally precludes checkpoint signaling in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* germ line. Genetics 184: 613-28. PubMed ID: <u>20008570</u>

Li Q, Hariri S, Engebrecht J. 2020. Meiotic Double-Strand Break Processing and Crossover Patterning Are Regulated in a Sex-Specific Manner by BRCA1-BARD1 in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 216: 359-379. PubMed ID: <u>32796008</u>



Li Q, Saito TT, Martinez-Garcia M, Deshong AJ, Nadarajan S, Lawrence KS, et al., Engebrecht J. 2018. The tumor suppressor BRCA1-BARD1 complex localizes to the synaptonemal complex and regulates recombination under meiotic dysfunction in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLoS Genet 14: e1007701. PubMed ID: <u>30383767</u>

Libuda DE, Uzawa S, Meyer BJ, Villeneuve AM. 2013. Meiotic chromosome structures constrain and respond to designation of crossover sites. Nature 502: 703-6. PubMed ID: <u>24107990</u>

Lim JG, Stine RR, Yanowitz JL. 2008. Domain-specific regulation of recombination in *Caenorhabditis elegans* in response to temperature, age and sex. Genetics 180: 715-26. PubMed ID: <u>18780748</u>

MacQueen AJ, Colaiácovo MP, McDonald K, Villeneuve AM. 2002. Synapsis-dependent and -independent mechanisms stabilize homolog pairing during meiotic prophase in *C. elegans*. Genes Dev 16: 2428-42. PubMed ID: <u>12231631</u>

MacQueen AJ, Phillips CM, Bhalla N, Weiser P, Villeneuve AM, Dernburg AF. 2005. Chromosome sites play dual roles to establish homologous synapsis during meiosis in *C. elegans*. Cell 123: 1037-50. PubMed ID: <u>16360034</u>

Nabeshima K, Villeneuve AM, Hillers KJ. 2004. Chromosome-wide regulation of meiotic crossover formation in *Caenorhabditis elegans* requires properly assembled chromosome axes. Genetics 168: 1275-92. PubMed ID: <u>15579685</u>

Pattabiraman D, Roelens B, Woglar A, Villeneuve AM. 2017. Meiotic recombination modulates the structure and dynamics of the synaptonemal complex during *C. elegans* meiosis. PLoS Genet 13: e1006670. PubMed ID: <u>28339470</u>

Preibisch S, Saalfeld S, Tomancak P. 2009. Globally optimal stitching of tiled 3D microscopic image acquisitions. Bioinformatics 25: 1463-5. PubMed ID: <u>19346324</u>

Roelens B. 2019. Immunofluorescence for C. elegans gonads. protocols.io. DOI: dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.xrgfm3w

Shakes DC, Wu JC, Sadler PL, Laprade K, Moore LL, Noritake A, Chu DS. 2009. Spermatogenesis-specific features of the meiotic program in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLoS Genet 5: e1000611. PubMed ID: <u>19696886</u>

Toraason E, Adler VL, Kurhanewicz NA, DiNardo A, Saunders AM, Cahoon CK, Libuda DE. 2021. Automated and customizable quantitative image analysis of whole *Caenorhabditis elegans* germlines. Genetics 217: iyab010. PubMed ID: <u>33772283</u>

Woglar A, Villeneuve AM. 2018. Dynamic Architecture of DNA Repair Complexes and the Synaptonemal Complex at Sites of Meiotic Recombination. Cell 173: 1678-1691.e16. PubMed ID: <u>29754818</u>

Yokoo R, Zawadzki KA, Nabeshima K, Drake M, Arur S, Villeneuve AM. 2012. COSA-1 reveals robust homeostasis and separable licensing and reinforcement steps governing meiotic crossovers. Cell 149: 75-87. PubMed ID: <u>22464324</u>

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health R35GM128890 to DEL, a Jane Coffin Childs Postdoctoral Fellowship and National Institutes of Health 1K99HD109505-01 to CKC, National Institutes of Health R35GM126964 to AMV, and a Stanford Dean's Fellowship award to CJU. DEL is also a Searle Scholar and recipient of a March of Dimes Basil O'Connor Starter Scholar award. SIM imaging was performed at Stanford University Cell Sciences Imaging Core Facility, which is supported by Award Number 1S10OD01227601 from the National Center for Research Resources.

Author Contributions: Cori K. Cahoon: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, writing - original draft, writing - review editing, funding acquisition, investigation. Celja J. Uebel: data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, writing - review editing. Anne M. Villeneuve: funding acquisition, writing - review editing. Diana E. Libuda: conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, writing - review editing.

Reviewed By: Anonymous

History: Received December 16, 2022 Revision Received December 23, 2022 Accepted January 5, 2023 Published Online January 6, 2023 Indexed January 20, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Cahoon, CK; Uebel, CJ; Villeneuve, AM; Libuda, DE (2023). Epitope tag-specific differences in the detection of COSA-1 marked crossover sites in *C. elegans* spermatocytes. microPublication Biology. <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.000724</u>