

Precise mapping of one classic and three novel *GluRIIA* mutants in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Bhagaban Mallik¹, Douglas J Brusich^{2§}, Georgette Heyrman², C. Andrew Frank^{1,3,4}

¹Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, United States

²Human Biology Department, University of Wisconsin–Green Bay, Green Bay, Wisconsin, United States

³Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, United States

⁴Iowa Neuroscience Institute, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, United States

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed: brusichd@uwgb.edu

Abstract

Mutation of the *Drosophila melanogaster GluRIIA* gene or pharmacological agents targeting it are commonly used to assess homeostatic synaptic function at the larval neuromuscular junction (NMJ). The commonly used mutation, *GluRIIA*^{SP16}, is a null allele created by a large and imprecise excision of a P-element which affects *GluRIIA* and multiple upstream genes. Here we mapped the exact bounds of the *GluRIIA*^{SP16} allele, refined a multiplex PCR strategy for positive identification of *GluRIIA*^{SP16} in homozygous or heterozygous backgrounds, and sequenced and characterized three new CRISPR-generated *GluRIIA* mutants. We found the three new *GluRIIA* alleles are apparent nulls that lack GluRIIA immunofluorescence signal at the 3rd instar larval NMJ and are predicted to cause premature truncations at the genetic level. Further, these new mutants have similar electrophysiological outcomes as *GluRIIA*^{SP16}, including reduced miniature excitatory postsynaptic potential (mEPSP) amplitude and frequency compared to controls, and they express robust homeostatic compensation as evidenced by normal excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP) amplitude and elevated quantal content. These findings and new tools extend the capacity of the *D. melanogaster* NMJ for assessment of synaptic function.



Figure 1. Identification of exact bounds of the *GluRIIA*^{SP16}lesion and three new CRISPR-generated *GluRIIA* mutants.:

(A) The *GluRIIA* gene region is shown with the span of the *GluRIIA*^{SP16} lesion and the location of the CRISPR target site in exon 6 of *GluRIIA*. (B) Representative traces of EPSP and mEPSP electrophysiology. Horizontal and vertical scale bars for EPSP (mEPSP) traces are 50 ms (1000ms) and 10 mV (1 mV) respectively. (C) mEPSP amplitude, (D) mEPSP frequency, (E)

EPSP amplitude, and (F) quantal content were assessed at the NMJ of wandering 3^{rd} instar animals. (C-F) Data plotted are medians with 25%-75% interquartile ranges. Each symbol represents a single muscle ($n \ge 8$ muscles per condition). * = p < 0.05 by Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's correction for multiple comparisons of *GluRIIA* mutant lines to w^{1118} controls. (G) Larval NMJs were stained with HRP (top) to detect the motor nerve and anti-GluRIIA antibodies (bottom). *GluRIIA*³⁰⁴, *GluRIIA*³⁰⁶, and *GluRIIA*⁷⁰⁴ failed to generate signal for GluRIIA. (H) The reference genome (chr2L: 5,556,421 - 5,556,460) with CRISPR-target site (blue), were aligned to DNA sequencing reads. Red symbols (*) and text in CRISPR-generated lines denote deleted and inserted nucleotides respectively.

Description

Glutamate is the major excitatory neurotransmitter of the mammalian central nervous system (CNS). Regulation of glutamate receptors is essential to normal nervous system activities and plasticity, while their perturbation contributes to various nervous system diseases. The fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*) neuromuscular junction (NMJ) offers a readily accessible glutamatergic synapse in a genetically tractable organism (Jan and Jan 1976). The utility of the fly NMJ has been demonstrated through findings of conserved mechanisms of synaptic function and modeling of human disease (Harris and Littleton 2015). Ionotropic glutamate receptors at the fly NMJ are tetrameric proteins made of the essential subunits DGluRIIC, DGluRIID, DGluRIIE, and one of either DGluRIIA or DGluRIIB (Petersen et al. 1997; Diantonio et al. 1999; Marrus et al. 2004; Featherstone et al. 2005; Qin et al. 2005). Null mutations in the DGluRIIA gene (*GluRIIA^{AD9}* and *GluRIIA^{SP16}*) were created through imprecise P-element excisions (Petersen et al. 1997). Both null alleles generate viable animals which have reduced quantal size as assessed via miniature excitatory postsynaptic potential (mEPSP) amplitudes, but exhibit homeostatic compensation as evidenced by increased quantal content, which works to restore the evoked excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP) amplitude (Petersen et al. 1997). We endeavored to confirm the previously identified bounds of the *GluRIIA^{SP16}* lesion and characterize new *GluRIIA*-specific mutants generated by CRISPR-mediated mutagenesis.

For *GluRIIA*^{SP16}, we undertook a PCR-based approach. We utilized previously identified primers to generate a long (~3 kbp) PCR amplicon spanning the residual P{lacW} element used in the original mutagenesis (Petersen et al. 1997; Brusich 2015). Sequence analysis of w^{*}; *GluRIIA*^{SP16} reconfirmed a lesion spanning from the upstream *Oscillin* gene through exon 9 of *GluRIIA* (Fig. 1A). Sequence analysis also confirmed a section of 2,767bp of DNA corresponding to the original *P*{*lacW*} element used to generate the *GluRIIA*^{SP16} allele was left behind during the imprecise excision (Petersen et al. 1997). Exact genomic DNA break-points were revised from previous work and span a region of 9,641bp in the reference genome (chr2L: 5,547,701- 5,557,341) (Brusich 2015).

The knowledge of break-points in *GluRIIA*^{SP16} and of residual P{lacW} material allowed us to revise a multiplex PCR strategy for simple positive identification of heterozygous *GluRIIA*^{SP16} backgrounds (Brusich 2015). Typically, recombination of *GluRIIA*^{SP16} with another 2nd chromosome genetic element has been confirmed via electrophysiological analysis or failure to generate a PCR amplicon in the *GluRIIA* gene region in homozygous animals. However, this process is slow and has risks of false negatives from PCR. We developed two primer pairs for control chromosomes that generate amplicons within the region excised by the *GluRIIA*^{SP16} lesion (501 bp or 712 bp). Either control primer pair can be combined with a primer set which generates an amplicon from genomic DNA across the 5' break-point to the residual P{lacW} of the *GluRIIA*^{SP16} lesion (243 bp). Thus, via a single PCR reaction and resolution by gel electrophoresis, it is possible to positively identify each of the intact *GluRIIA* gene on a control chromosome and the *GluRIIA*^{SP16} lesion chromosome.

The *GluRIIA*-targeting CRISPR construct (*sgRNA-GS00444*) was used to generate mutations in exon 6 of *DGluRIIA* (Fig. 1A). Putative mutant fly lines were established and preliminarily screened via electrophysiology of spontaneous miniature neurotransmission. Homozygous *GluRIIA*^{SP16} flies were used as positive controls and exhibited reduced mEPSP amplitudes (Fig. 1B and 1C) and reduced mEPSP frequencies (Fig. 1B and 1D) compared to w^{1118} controls. Three lines, *GluRIIA*³⁰⁴, *GluRIIA*³⁰⁶, and *GluRIIA*⁷⁰⁴, similarly exhibited reduced mEPSP amplitudes and frequencies compared to w^{1118} controls (Figs. 1B-D). Each genotype was further subjected to analysis of evoked neurotransmitter release. By our analysis, each of *GluRIIA*^{SP16}, *GluRIIA*³⁰⁴, *GluRIIA*³⁰⁶, and *GluRIIA*³⁰⁶, and *GluRIIA*⁷⁰⁴ maintained EPSP amplitudes and had increased quantal content compared to the w^{1118} control (Figs. 1B, 1E, and 1F). The NMJ of each putative mutant was dissected and immunostained with anti-GluRIIA antibodies. None of the three lines generated an anti-GluRIIA immunofluorescence signal (Fig. 1G), indicating that they are all likely to be *GluRIIA* null alleles.

Each of the three new *GluRIIA* mutants capably produces homozygous, straight-winged adult flies that were used for PCR and sequencing. PCR and sequencing identified mutations in exon 6 of *GluRIIA* targeted by CRISPR-mediated mutagenesis for each of the *GluRIIA*³⁰⁴, *GluRIIA*³⁰⁶, and *GluRIIA*⁷⁰⁴ lines (Fig. 1H). Wild-type *GluRIIA* mRNA is predicted to form a 907-amino acid protein (mRNA: NM_078760.3; protein: NP_523484.2). By contrast, each of the CRISPR-generated lines are predicted to have normal mRNA until frame-shifts are introduced at codons that typically encode for Asn272 (*GluRIIA*³⁰⁶) or Iso273 (*GluRIIA*³⁰⁴ and *GluRIIA*⁷⁰⁴), after which aberrant sequence is predicted until premature stop codons at positions 278 (*GluRIIA*³⁰⁴), 359 (*GluRIIA*³⁰⁶), or 284 (*GluRIIA*⁷⁰⁴).

Our results defined the exact bounds of the lesion in the commonly used *GluRIIA*^{SP16} allele (Fig. 1A), as well as new lesions in three CRISPR-generated *GluRIIA* mutant lines (Fig. 1H). We further revised a multiplex PCR strategy for positive identification of heterozygous *GluRIIA*^{SP16} backgrounds. We found that the new CRISPR-generated *GluRIIA* mutants exhibited reduced mEPSP amplitude and frequency (Figs. 1C and 1D), but normal EPSP amplitudes (Fig. 1E) due to robust homeostatic upregulation of quantal content (Fig. 1F). Our observation of reduced mEPSP amplitude in the *GluRIIA* mutants was expected and consistent with other *GluRIIA* lesions, expression of dominant negative *GluRIIA* constructs, and RNAi-mediated approaches (Petersen et al. 1997; Diantonio et al. 1999; DiAntonio 2006; Frank 2014; Frank et al. 2020). It is also consistent with a more recent CRISPR-based effort by a separate group that generated *GluRIIA* indel mutants, including the *GluRIIA*^{PV3} lesion that is predicted to result in a premature stop codon (D277stop) nearly identical to our *GluRIIA*³⁰⁴ allele (Goel et al. 2022).

More interesting is our observation here of homeostatic potentiation that restores the EPSP to that of controls in *GluRIIA*^{SP16} and each of the *GluRIIA*³⁰⁴, *GluRIIA*³⁰⁶, and *GluRIIA*⁷⁰⁴ lines. The *GluRIIA*^{SP16} mutant reliably elicits homeostatic potentiation of quantal content. Often, this compensation maintains the EPSP at control type levels (Petersen et al. 1997; Tsurudome et al. 2010; Gratz et al. 2019; Perry et al. 2022), but sometimes the elevated quantal content is insufficient to fully maintain the EPSP (Frank et al. 2006; Frank et al. 2009; Müller et al. 2011; Younger et al. 2013; Brusich et al. 2015; Spring et al. 2016; Yeates et al. 2017; James et al. 2019). Subtle differences in recording conditions may contribute to differences in long-term maintenance of homeostatic compensation in *GluRIIA*^{SP16}, as elevation of extracellular calcium is capable of taking the EPSP in a GluRIIA^{SP16} background from partially restored to matching that of controls (Frank et al. 2006). However, genetic background effects may also be at play in these lines which are kept as isolated lab stocks. Differences in activity or expression of a number of presynaptic or postsynaptic factors necessary for long-term homeostatic compensation could be responsible (Frank 2014). For example, presynaptically, Ca_V^2 -type calcium channels or IP₃ receptors which contribute to intracellular calcium, Rab3-GAP and snapin which contribute to vesicle regulation, and K_V4 (shal) ion channel activity are possibilities (Frank et al. 2009; Bergquist et al. 2010; Müller et al. 2011; Dickman et al. 2012). A more intriguing possibility is related to the nature of the *GluRIIA*^{SP16}allele which includes approximately 2,700bp of remnant P{lacW} sequence. In the GluRIIA^{SP16} mutant, GluRIIA mRNA downstream of the lesion is expressed by an unknown promoter and limited translation occurs as evidenced by ribosome profiling (Chen and Dickman 2017). Remnant P{lacW} sequence should not be discounted as the possible source of such a promoter (Druker et al. 2004; LaFave and Sekelsky 2011). Any protein expression of the Cterminal region of GluRIIA has the potential to disrupt full homeostatic scaling as it is capable of sequestering CaMKII and occluding presynaptic homeostatic potentiation (Perry et al. 2022). Thus, genetic differences which cause changes in transcriptional activity of the residual *GluRIIA* gene or the efficiency of translation may alter the extent to which homeostatic potentiation is actively promoted. We do not know if the new CRISPR-generated mutants have similar, limited expression of the 3' region of *GluRIIA* and this question may be of interest to future investigations.

Methods

Fly Husbandry, Stocks, and CRISPR-mediated mutagenesis

Control strain w^{1118} (Hazelrigg et al. 1984) and w^* ; *GluRIIA*^{SP16} (Petersen et al. 1997) stocks are maintained as lab stocks (CAF). Flies were reared at 25°C in temperature- and light-controlled incubators on media containing water, agar, molasses, yellow cornmeal, and yeast throughout CRISPR-mediated mutagenesis crossing steps (Mallik et al. 2017; Brusich et al. 2018). Flies were housed in similar conditions on water, agar, glucose, yellow cornmeal, yeast, tegosept, and Acid A containing food ahead of DNA isolation steps (Putnam et al. 2019).

The *GluRIIA*⁷⁰⁴, *GluRIIA*^{304,} and *GluRIIA*³⁰⁶ alleles were generated by CRISPR-mediated mutagenesis. Flies carrying the *sgRNA-GS00444* element targeting the 5'- CAATCGCACCGACGTAATGTTGG-3' sequence of Exon 6 of *GluRIIA* (BDSC 68059: y[1] sc[*] v[1] sev[21]; $P\{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TKO.GS00444\}attP40$) were crossed to *vas-Cas9* males (BDSC 51324:

w[1118]; $PBac\{y[+mDint2] GFP[E.3xP3]=vas-Cas9\}VK00027$). Resultant F1 progeny possessing both the *sgRNA* and *vas-Cas9* elements were then crossed to males carrying a 2nd chromosome balancer (*CyO-GFP*). Last, F2 progeny were selected for putative mutations in *GluRIIA* and against *sgRNA* and *vas-Cas9* chromosomes, and balanced with *CyO-GFP*. Full details and a schematic of the crossing scheme are available upon request.

Electrophysiology

GluRIIA mutations within putative stocks were initially identified via an electrophysiological approach. All dissections and recordings were performed in modified HL-3 saline (Stewart et al. 1994) containing 70 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM NaHCO3, 115 mM sucrose, 4.2 mM trehalose, 5 mM HEPES, and 0.5 mM CaCl2, pH 7.2. Neuromuscular junction sharp electrode (electrode resistance between 20-30 MQ) recordings were performed on muscles 6/7 of abdominal segments A2 in wandering third-instar larvae as described (Mallik and Frank 2022). Recordings were performed on a Leica microscope using a 10x objective and acquired using an Axoclamp 900A amplifier, Digidata 1440A acquisition system, and pClamp 10.7 software (Molecular Devices). Electrophysiological sweeps were digitized at 10 kHz and filtered at 1 kHz. Thirty EPSPs were evoked at each muscle and the average of the 30 sweeps at each muscle were used in analysis and in plotting representative data. Data were analyzed using Clampfit (Molecular Devices) and MiniAnalysis (Synaptosoft) software. Miniature excitatory postsynaptic potentials (mEPSPs) were recorded without any stimulation. A minimum of 4 muscles from across at least 2 animals were used for mEPSP analysis. Stocks with larval NMJs with significant reductions in mEPSP amplitude were identified as putative *GluRIIA* loss-of-function mutant stocks and subjected to further tests.

Confocal imaging

Samples were imaged using a Zeiss LSM 710 laser scanning confocal microscope equipped with $63\times/1.4$ NA oil immersion objective with four laser lines (405, 488, 561, and 637 nm) at room temperature. For fluorescence quantifications, the samples were stained with mouse anti-*GluRIIA* (DSHB: 8B4D2; 1:30), Alexa 488 goat anti-HRP (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories: AB_2338965; 1:400) and goat anti-mouse TRITC (Invitrogen: A16071; 1:200) antibodies in the same tube with similar reagents. The samples were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories) and imaged in the same session. Z-stacks were obtained using identical settings with z-axis spacing between 0.2-0.5 µm and optimized for detection without signal saturation (Raut et al. 2017). GluRIIA and HRP-positive boutons were used to analyze the control sample; however, the mutants did not have any signals for GluRIIA. Images were cropped and the brightness and contrast adjustments were applied to signal for GluRIIA in the same way for each image (ImageJ 1.47v).

DNA Isolation, PCR, Sequencing, and Primers

Two, homozygous straight-winged male flies of each genotype were used for crude DNA extractions prior to PCR (Engels et al. 1990; Gloor and Engels 1991). Briefly, the procedure involved homogenization of flies using a micropestle in 50 µL of 'squishing buffer' (10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 25 mM NaCl, and 200 µg/mL Roche proteinase K (Fisher Scientific), incubation at 37°C for 30 minutes, heat-inactivation of the proteinase K by holding samples at 95°C for 3 minutes, and removal of the supernatant containing the crude DNA extract.

One μ L of crude DNA extract was used for each PCR reaction (25 or 50 μ L volume). Primers were used at a final concentration of 0.5 μ M, except for multiplex reactions in which primer pairs used to generate shorter amplicons from *GluRIIA*^{SP16} chromosomes were used at 0.25 μ M. Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix with HF Buffer (New England Biolabs) was used for all PCR using the manufacturer's recommendations except for a long (90 seconds) amplification for reactions across the lesion site in *w**; *GluRIIA*^{SP16} flies, which generated a ~3 kbp amplicon. The Monarch® PCR & DNA Cleanup Kit (New England Biolabs) was used to purify the PCR product. Single-stranded Sanger sequencing was performed by ACGT Inc. (Wheeling, IL). DNA preparations, PCR, and sequencing were conducted with two separate biological replicates for each genotype.

Primers used for PCR and sequencing of CRISPR-generated mutants and w¹¹¹⁸ generated a 923bp amplicon (5'-CATATGTTCGCGATGTGACG-3' and 5'-CATCCAAGGAGACGAACTGC-3'). Primers used for PCR and sequencing of *GluRIIA*^{SP16} generated a ~3kbp amplicon from *GluRIIA*^{SP16} (5'-ACACACACACAAAAACAAACTGAAT-3' and 5'-CTATGAAAACAAAAGCCAAAGTCAT-3'). Multiplex primers for detection of a nonmutated *GluRIIA* gene generated a 501bp amplicon (5'-GACTTTAAGTTGCAGGGCCC-3' and 5'-CGGTCTTAAAGTGCGGTTCC-3') or 712bp amplicon (5'-AGTGGATGTAAGTGGAGGGCC-3' and 5'-CATCCCATTGCTTCGTCTCC-3'), and were compatible with primers used for positive detection of the *GluRIIA*^{SP16} allele that generated a 243bp amplicon (5'- AACACAACTGAATGAGCCGC-3' and 5'-ACAACCTTTCCTCCAACAAGC-3')

Sequence Alignment and Analysis

Sequence alignment and analysis were performed using SnapGene® (SnapGene®, v6.0.5, Insightful Science). Forward and reverse reads from w^{1118} , $GluRIIA^{304}$, $GluRIIA^{306}$, $GluRIIA^{704}$ were aligned using the Needleman-Wunsch global alignment method (Needleman and Wunsch 1970). Poorly aligned sequences at the ends of the reads were trimmed and individual discrepancies were resolved with reference to the chromatogram data. A minimum of 694 bp of perfectly aligned consensus sequence was generated for each genotype. Consensus reads were aligned with genomic DNA from the GluRIIA gene region (BDGP Release 6 + ISO1 MT) using the MUSCLE algorithm (Edgar 2004a; Edgar 2004b). This process was conducted for each of the two biological replicates.

Sequencing forward reads from 2 biological replicates of w^{*}; *GluRIIA*^{SP16} were aligned using the Needleman-Wunsch method (Needleman and Wunsch 1970), and the same was done with reverse reads. Poorly aligned sequences at the ends of the reads were trimmed and individual discrepancies were resolved with reference to the chromatogram data. A minimum of 849 bp of perfectly aligned consensus sequence was generated for each forward and reverse reads. Aligned reads were then aligned with genomic DNA (BDGP Release 6 + ISO1 MT) using the Smith-Waterman local alignment algorithm (Smith and Waterman 1981). Aligned forward and reverse reads were also aligned to P[lacW] genetic sequence (FlyBase: FBtp0000204) using the Needleman-Wunsch method (Needleman and Wunsch 1970).

References

Bergquist S, Dickman DK, Davis GW. 2010. A Hierarchy of Cell Intrinsic and Target-Derived Homeostatic Signaling. Neuron 66: 220-234. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.neuron.2010.03.023</u>

Brusich DJ. 2015. Dual roles for an intracellular calcium-signaling pathway in regulating synaptic homeostasis and neuronal excitability. University of Iowa - Anatomy and Cell Biology. PhD Thesis. DOI: <u>10.17077/etd.3eobkf2w</u>

Brusich DJ, Spring AM, Frank CA. 2015. A single-cross, RNA interference-based genetic tool for examining the long-term maintenance of homeostatic plasticity. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience 9: 10.3389/fncel.2015.00107. DOI: 10.3389/fncel.2015.00107

Brusich DJ, Spring AM, James TD, Yeates CJ, Helms TH, Frank CA. 2018. Drosophila CaV2 channels harboring human migraine mutations cause synapse hyperexcitability that can be suppressed by inhibition of a Ca2+ store release pathway. PLOS Genetics 14: e1007577. DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pgen.1007577</u>

Chen X, Dickman D. 2017. Development of a tissue-specific ribosome profiling approach in Drosophila enables genome-wide evaluation of translational adaptations. PLOS Genetics 13: e1007117. DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pgen.1007117</u>

DiAntonio A. 2006. Glutamate Receptors At The Drosophila Neuromuscular Junction. International Review of Neurobiology, The Fly Neuromuscular Junction: Structure and Function Second Edition : 165-179. DOI: <u>10.1016/S0074-7742(06)75008-5</u>

DiAntonio A, Petersen SA, Heckmann M, Goodman CS. 1999. Glutamate Receptor Expression Regulates Quantal Size and Quantal Content at the *Drosophila* Neuromuscular Junction. The Journal of Neuroscience 19: 3023-3032. DOI: <u>10.1523/jneurosci.19-08-03023.1999</u>

Dickman DK, Tong A, Davis GW. 2012. Snapin is Critical for Presynaptic Homeostatic Plasticity. Journal of Neuroscience 32: 8716-8724. DOI: <u>10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5465-11.2012</u>

Druker R. 2004. Complex patterns of transcription at the insertion site of a retrotransposon in the mouse. Nucleic Acids Research 32: 5800-5808. DOI: <u>10.1093/nar/gkh914</u>

Edgar RC. 2004. . BMC Bioinformatics 5: 113. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2105-5-113

Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Research 32: 1792-1797. DOI: <u>10.1093/nar/gkh340</u>

Engels WR, Johnson-Schlitz DM, Eggleston WB, Sved J. 1990. High-frequency P element loss in Drosophila is homolog dependent. Cell 62: 515-525. DOI: <u>10.1016/0092-8674(90)90016-8</u>

Featherstone DE. 2005. An Essential Drosophila Glutamate Receptor Subunit That Functions in Both Central Neuropil and Neuromuscular Junction. Journal of Neuroscience 25: 3199-3208. DOI: <u>10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4201-04.2005</u>

Frank CA. 2014. Homeostatic plasticity at the Drosophila neuromuscular junction. Neuropharmacology 78: 63-74. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.neuropharm.2013.06.015</u>



Frank CA, James TD, Müller M. 2020. Homeostatic control of *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction function. Synapse 74: 10.1002/syn.22133. DOI: <u>10.1002/syn.22133</u>

Frank CA, Kennedy MJ, Goold CP, Marek KW, Davis GW. 2006. Mechanisms Underlying the Rapid Induction and Sustained Expression of Synaptic Homeostasis. Neuron 52: 663-677. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.neuron.2006.09.029</u>

Frank CA, Pielage J, Davis GW. 2009. A Presynaptic Homeostatic Signaling System Composed of the Eph Receptor, Ephexin, Cdc42, and CaV2.1 Calcium Channels. Neuron 61: 556-569. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.028</u>

Gloor G, Engels W. 1991. Single-fly DNA preps for PCR. Drosoph Information Newsletter. 1.

Goel P, Han Y, Tran N, Nishimura S, Perry S, Sanjani M, Dickman D. 2022. Excess glutamate release triggers subunit-specific homeostatic receptor scaling. : 10.1101/2022.05.03.490370. DOI: <u>10.1101/2022.05.03.490370</u>

Gratz SJ, Goel P, Bruckner JJ, Hernandez RX, Khateeb K, Macleod GT, Dickman D, O'Connor-Giles KM. 2019. Endogenous tagging reveals differential regulation of Ca²⁺ channels at single AZs during presynaptic homeostatic potentiation and depression. The Journal of Neuroscience : 3068-18. DOI: <u>10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3068-18.2019</u>

Harris KP, Littleton JT. 2015. Transmission, Development, and Plasticity of Synapses. Genetics 201: 345-375. DOI: <u>10.1534/genetics.115.176529</u>

Hazelrigg T, Levis R, Rubin GM. 1984. Transformation of white locus DNA in Drosophila: Dosage compensation, zeste interaction, and position effects. Cell 36: 469-481. DOI: <u>10.1016/0092-8674(84)90240-X</u>

James TD, Zwiefelhofer DJ, Frank CA. 2019. Maintenance of homeostatic plasticity at the Drosophila neuromuscular synapse requires continuous IP3-directed signaling. eLife 8: 10.7554/elife.39643. DOI: <u>10.7554/eLife.39643</u>

Jan LY, Jan YN. 1976. Properties of the larval neuromuscular junction in Drosophila melanogaster. The Journal of Physiology 262: 189-214. DOI: <u>10.1113/jphysiol.1976.sp011592</u>

LaFave MC, Sekelsky J. 2011. Transcription Initiation From Within *P* Elements Generates Hypomorphic Mutations in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 188: 749-752. DOI: <u>10.1534/genetics.111.129825</u>

Mallik B, Dwivedi MK, Mushtaq Z, Kumari M, Verma PK, Kumar V. 2017. Regulation of neuromuscular junction organization by Rab2 and its effector ICA69 in *Drosophila*. Development : 10.1242/dev.145920. DOI: <u>10.1242/dev.145920</u>

Mallik B, Frank CA. 2022. Roles for Mitochondrial Complex I Subunits in Regulating Synaptic Transmission and Growth. Frontiers in Neuroscience 16: 10.3389/fnins.2022.846425. DOI: <u>10.3389/fnins.2022.846425</u>

Marrus SB. 2004. Differential Localization of Glutamate Receptor Subunits at the Drosophila Neuromuscular Junction. Journal of Neuroscience 24: 1406-1415. DOI: <u>10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1575-03.2004</u>

Müller M, Pym ECG, Tong A, Davis GW. 2011. Rab3-GAP Controls the Progression of Synaptic Homeostasis at a Late Stage of Vesicle Release. Neuron 69: 749-762. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.neuron.2011.01.025</u>

Nair AG, Muttathukunnel P, Müller M. 2021. Distinct molecular pathways govern presynaptic homeostatic plasticity. Cell Reports 37: 110105. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110105</u>

Needleman SB, Wunsch CD. 1970. A general method applicable to the search for similarities in the amino acid sequence of two proteins. Journal of Molecular Biology 48: 443-453. DOI: <u>10.1016/0022-2836(70)90057-4</u>

Perry S, Han Y, Qiu C, Chien C, Goel P, Nishimura S, et al., Dickman. 2022. A glutamate receptor C-tail recruits CaMKII to suppress retrograde homeostatic signaling. Nature Communications 13: 10.1038/s41467-022-35417-9. DOI: <u>10.1038/s41467-022-35417-9</u>.

Petersen SA, Fetter RD, Noordermeer JN, Goodman CS, DiAntonio A. 1997. Genetic Analysis of Glutamate Receptors in Drosophila Reveals a Retrograde Signal Regulating Presynaptic Transmitter Release. Neuron 19: 1237-1248. DOI: 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80415-8

Putnam LJ, Willes AM, Kalata BE, Disher ND, Brusich DJ. 2019. Expansion of a fly TBI model to four levels of injury severity reveals synergistic effects of repetitive injury for moderate injury conditions. Fly 13: 1-11. DOI: 10.1080/19336934.2019.1664363

Qin G. 2005. Four Different Subunits Are Essential for Expressing the Synaptic Glutamate Receptor at Neuromuscular Junctions of Drosophila. Journal of Neuroscience 25: 3209-3218. DOI: <u>10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4194-04.2005</u>

Raut S, Mallik B, Parichha A, Amrutha V, Sahi C, Kumar V. 2017. RNAi-Mediated Reverse Genetic Screen Identified*Drosoph ila*Chaperones Regulating Eye and Neuromuscular Junction Morphology. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics 7: 2023-2038. DOI:



10.1534/g3.117.041632

Smith TF, Waterman MS. 1981. Identification of common molecular subsequences. Journal of Molecular Biology 147: 195-197. DOI: <u>10.1016/0022-2836(81)90087-5</u>

Spring AM, Brusich DJ, Frank CA. 2016. C-terminal Src Kinase Gates Homeostatic Synaptic Plasticity and Regulates Fasciclin II Expression at the Drosophila Neuromuscular Junction. PLOS Genetics 12: e1005886. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005886

Stewart BA, Atwood HL, Renger JJ, Wang J, Wu CF. 1994. Improved stability of Drosophila larval neuromuscular preparations in haemolymph-like physiological solutions. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 175: 179-191. DOI: 10.1007/BF00215114

Tsurudome K, Tsang K, Liao EH, Ball R, Penney J, Yang JS, et al., Haghighi. 2010. The Drosophila miR-310 Cluster Negatively Regulates Synaptic Strength at the Neuromuscular Junction. Neuron 68: 879-893. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.016

Yeates CJ, Zwiefelhofer DJ, Frank CA. 2017. The Maintenance of Synaptic Homeostasis at the*Drosophila*Neuromuscular Junction Is Reversible and Sensitive to High Temperature. eneuro 4: ENEURO.0220-17.2017. DOI: <u>10.1523/ENEURO.0220-17.2017</u>.

Younger MA, Müller M, Tong A, Pym EC, Davis GW. 2013. A Presynaptic ENaC Channel Drives Homeostatic Plasticity. Neuron 79: 1183-1196. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.neuron.2013.06.048</u>

Funding: UWGB Startup Funds (DJB); UWGB Faculty Development Funds (DJB); University of Iowa, Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology Funds (CAF); NIH/NINDS Grants NS085164 and NS130108 (CAF)

Author Contributions: Bhagaban Mallik: investigation, methodology, visualization, writing - review editing. Douglas J Brusich: conceptualization, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review editing. Georgette Heyrman: investigation, writing - review editing. C. Andrew Frank: conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, project administration, resources, writing - review editing.

Reviewed By: Dion Dickman, Anonymous

Curated By: Anonymous

History: Received February 21, 2023 Revision Received April 24, 2023 Accepted May 25, 2023 Published Online June 2, 2023 Indexed June 16, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Mallik, B; Brusich, DJ; Heyrman, G; Frank, CA (2023). Precise mapping of one classic and three novel *GluRIIA* mutants in *Drosophila melanogaster*. microPublication Biology. <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.000784</u>