The Effects of poly-GA and poly-PR *C9orf72* Dipeptide Repeats on Sleep Patterns in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Genevieve Uy¹, Laura N. Farrell², Syeda F. Faheem³, Lauren E. Kinne³, Madison G. Adore³, Seol Hee Im^{3§}, Robert Fairman^{3§}

¹Chemistry, Haverford College, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States

²Neuroscience, Haverford College, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States

³Biology, Haverford College, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed: seolim30@gmail.com; rfairman@haverford.edu

Abstract

C9orf72 is the most common familial gene associated with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Dipeptide repeats (DPRs) encoded by an expanded nucleotide repeat sequence in the *C9orf72* gene were found in the sleep-related neurons of patients, indicating a role of DPRs in ALS-associated sleep disruptions. Poly-GA or poly-PR DPRs were expressed in male *Drosophila melanogaster* to study their effect on sleep. Poly-PR expression caused sleep disruptions while poly-GA expression did not. This study validates the use of *Drosophila* as an *in vivo* model system for exploring the roles of DPRs in perturbing the underlying molecular mechanisms in sleep regulation.





Flies were treated with vehicle or RU486 for seven days and subsequently placed in the DAM tubes for three days of data collection. These graphs are from one representative of three replicate experiments. (A-D) Sleep data collected from male fly sleep studies testing pan-neuronal PR50 expression averaged over three days: elav(GS)/+ with RU486, n=32; PR50/+ with RU486, n=26; elav(GS) > PR50 vehicle control, n=29; elav(GS) > PR50 with RU486, n=29; over 12hr:12hr light:dark cycles. (A) Sleep profile of flies expressing pan-neuronal PR50. (B) Total sleep duration in minutes. (C) Number of sleep episodes. (D) Mean sleep episode duration. (E-H) Sleep data collected from male fly sleep studies testing pan-neuronal GA50 expression averaged over three days: elav(GS)/+ with RU486, n=24; GA50/+ with RU486, n=32; elav(GS) > GA50 vehicle control, n=29; elav(GS) > GA50 with RU486, n=32; over 12hr:12hr light:dark cycles. (E) Sleep profile of flies expressing panneuronal GA50. (F) Total sleep duration in minutes. (G) Number of sleep episodes. (H), Mean sleep episode duration.



microPublication

BIOLOGY



Description

ALS is a motor neuron disease that causes patients to experience sleep problems like sleep fragmentation and excessive daytime sleepiness (Arnulf et al., 2000; Ting & Malhotra, 2005; Lo Coco et al., 2011; Boentert, 2020; Sun et al., 2020). Recently, post-mortem staining of human brain tissue from patients with familial ALS revealed that cells associated with maintaining circadian rhythms, particularly pinealocytes and suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) neurons, showed dipeptide repeat (DPR) pathology, indicating a potential role of these DPRs in promoting sleep abnormalities (Dedeene et al., 2019). DPRs arise from the translation of an abnormal expansion of the G_4C_2 hexanucleotide repeat in the C9orf72 gene (DeJesus-Hernandez et al., 2011; Majounie et al., 2012). The translation of these hexanucleotide repeat expansions can generate five unique dipeptide repeats (DPRs) through the process of RAN translation: poly-GA, poly-PR, poly-GR, poly-GP, and poly-PA (Ash et al., 2013). Of the DPRs, poly-GA and poly-PR have been the focus of many studies related to familial ALS. Poly-GA is the most abundant DPR generated in the disease (Lee et al., 2017). Poly-GA aggregates into cytoplasmic inclusions that impair nucleocytoplasmic transport (NCT), which is an essential cellular mechanism that maintains sleep-related circadian rhythms (Zhang et al., 2016; Khosravi et al., 2017; Vanneste et al., 2019; Patke et al., 2020). In contrast to poly-GA, poly-PR has low expression levels in cells, but has been shown to be amongst the most toxic of the DPRs (Mackenzie et al., 2015, Freibaum & Taylor, 2017). Poly-PR has been shown to cause cellular toxicity through several mechanisms involved in maintaining circadian rhythms, including: nuclear localization and subsequent disruption of the transcription process, NCT disruption due to importin sequestration via liquid liquid phase separation (LLPS), and proteasome inhibition causing disrupted protein homeostasis (Wen et al., 2014; Boeynaems et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2016; Freibaum & Taylor, 2017; Gupta et al., 2017; White et al., 2019; Hayes et al., 2020; Hutten et al., 2020). Despite the evidence of DPR expression in sleep-related brain cells, to our knowledge, no study so far has raised the question: does DPR expression affect sleep in vivo? To answer this question, we expressed poly-PR and poly-GA DPRs in all neurons in male D. melanoqaster, a wellestablished model for both sleep and the neurodegenerative effects of C9orf72 DPRs (Cirelli & Bushey, 2008; Cirelli, 2009; Mizielinska et al., 2014). In this study, we found that poly-PR expression disrupted fly sleep patterns while poly-GA did not, suggesting multiple modes of DPR-mediated toxicity.

We first focused on the effect of poly-PR expression on D. melanogaster sleep. We induced PR50 expression in all neurons of adult flies for seven days post-eclosion then recorded their daily activity levels for three days. The data presented here represent one of three repetitions of sleep experiments including control and experimental genotypes performed, with significance of the findings being identical across the repetitions. For the experimental group, elav(GS)>PR50 flies were administered RU486 to induce pan-neuronal PR50 expression. Genetic controls for the experimental group, elav(GS)/+ and PR50/+ flies treated with RU486, were included to control for the variance in sleep phenotypes that could arise from the genetic background. Work from other groups have shown that RU486 exposure does not have effects on sleep in such genetic control experiments (Wu et al., 2009; Afonso, et al., 2015). Additionally, an RU486 vehicle control, where elav(GS)>PR50 flies were exposed to ethanol, was included to control for the effects of RU486 on sleep on the experimental genotype. Sleep data for the PR50-expressing groups were compared to all three controls to determine significant differences in sleep parameters. While the control flies had more sleep during the nighttime, PR50-expressing flies showed an increase in daytime sleep and decrease in nighttime sleep (Fig. 1A). This observation was explored in more detail in Figs. 1B-D. PR50-expressing flies had significantly more total sleep duration (TSD) during the ZT0-12 phase when compared to the three controls but did not have significantly different total sleep for ZT12-24 (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, PR50-expressing flies had an increased number of sleep episodes (NSE) during the ZT12-24 phase (Fig. 1C). Finally, PR50-expressing flies showed a lower mean sleep episode duration (MSED) during the ZT12-24 phase (Fig. 1D). Overall, these data suggest that PR50 expression caused sleep pattern changes in flies.

Similar to PR50, we induced GA50 expression in all neurons of adult flies for seven days post-eclosion then recorded their daily activity levels for three days. Three independent iterations of the sleep studies including control and experimental genotypes were carried out, consistent with the work described above for the PR50 flies. For the experimental group, elav(GS)>GA50 flies were administered RU486 to induce pan-neuronal GA50 expression. To rule out compounding variables of genetic background and RU486 treatment, we looked for significant differences between GA50-expressing flies compared to all three controls. Sleep profiles showed GA50-expressing flies had similar sleep patterns compared across all three controls (Fig. 1E). Furthermore, GA50-expressing flies had no significant differences in TSD, NSE, or MSED when compared across the three controls (Fig. 1F, G, H). Overall, these data suggest that GA50 expression does not change fly sleep patterns.

The effect of PR50 expression on sleep mimics the sleep fragmentation and excessive daytime sleepiness experienced by ALS patients (Arnulf et al., 2000; Ting & Malhotra, 2005; Lo Coco et al., 2011; Boentert, 2020; Sun et al., 2020). PR50-expressing flies had an increase in the NSE and a decrease in the MSED in the ZT12-24 phase, indicating that flies were waking up more

frequently and had shorter sleep duration. ALS patients also wake up frequently during the night, leading to shorter sleep periods. Additionally, PR50-expressing flies had increased TSD during the ZT0-12 phase, indicating that the flies slept more in the day compared to controls. ALS patients experience excessive daytime sleepiness as a result of fragmented nighttime sleep, resulting in an increased likelihood of falling asleep during the day. Our results of the PR50 sleep experiment suggest that PR50 may play a direct cellular role in affecting sleep.

In contrast to the PR50 expression, we noted that GA50 expression did not seem to have an effect on fly sleep parameters. GA50-expressing flies were compared to genetic controls and an ethanol vehicle control to account for effects of genetic background and RU486, respectively. The GA50-expressing group must be significantly different from all three controls in order to isolate the effect of GA50 expression on sleep parameters. Because the GA50-expressing group was not significantly different when compared to all three controls, we conclude that GA50 expression may not have an effect on sleep. These results suggest that, although poly-GA DPRs are the most highly expressed and have detrimental effects on brain health as described above, poly-GA DPRs may not have a direct effect on sleep. Alternatively, poly-GA may need to accumulate over time to cause sleep disruptions.

In this study, we presented a *Drosophila* model of ALS PR50 pathology that features sleep phenotypes similar to sleep problems experienced by ALS patients. Furthermore, we showed that GA50 expression did not affect *Drosophila* sleep. Our findings open the possibilities to future studies focused on determining the molecular basis of the effects of PR50 on sleep, as well as determining how other DPRs may contribute to sleep disruption.

Methods

Fly Husbandry

Drosophila stocks and experimental crosses were maintained on standard *Drosophila* media at either 18°C or 25°C. The *elav(GS)-GAL4* driver was used to induce expression of GA50 and PR50 in progeny. Mifepristone (RU486, Sigma Aldrich) was added to standard media for a final concentration of 20 μ g/mL. RU486 stocks were prepared in ethanol, aliquoted, and stored at -20°C. Adult male progeny from the experimental cross and genetic controls were collected 0-2 days post-eclosion then placed on RU486-containing media for 7 days. A vehicle control for the experimental cross was also performed using an equal amount of ethanol-containing media for 7 days. All progeny were entrained on a 12h:12h light:dark cycle prior to sleep experiments. All experimental work was carried out at 25°C in free-standing incubators.

Drosophila Activity Monitoring and Sleep Analysis

Male flies of the genetic and experimental groups were tested for sleep analysis using *Drosophila* Activity Monitors (DAMs, Trikinetics, Inc.) After 7-day RU486 or EtOH exposure, flies were placed individually into 65 mm glass tubes with 5% sucrose, 1% agarose (w/v) food medium **then immediately moved into a 25°C incubator with** 12h:12h light:dark conditions for 3-5 days of activity monitoring. Activity data were collected for each trial using the Trikinetics DAMSystem3 program (https://www.trikinetics.com/). Data for each trial were analyzed using the Vecsey Sleep and Circadian Analysis MATLAB Program (SCAMP). Graphs were produced using Excel and GraphPad Prism. Three repetitions of the sleep experiments were carried out to validate the results. One-way ANOVA was performed with Dunnett's post-hoc when data had a normal distribution, otherwise a Kruskal-Wallis was performed with Dunn's post-hoc test.

Statistical Analysis Results

Figure Panel	Time Frame	Statistical Test	P Value	
В	24hr	Kruskal-Wallis	0.0041	
В	ZT0-12	ANOVA	<0.0001	
В	ZT12-24	Kruskal-Wallis	0.0150	
С	24hr	ANOVA	<0.0001	
С	ZT0-12	ANOVA	<0.0001	

Statistical analysis results for sleep experiments



С	ZT12-24	Kruskal-Wallis	<0.0001
D	24hr	Kruskal-Wallis	0.0001
D	ZT0-12	Kruskal-Wallis	<0.0001
D	ZT12-24	Kruskal-Wallis	<0.0001
F	24hr	ANOVA	<0.0001
F	ZT0-12	ANOVA	<0.0001
F	ZT12-24	Kruskal-Wallis	0.0049
G	24hr	ANOVA	<0.0001
G	ZT0-12	Kruskal-Wallis	0.0108
G	ZT12-24	ANOVA	0.0029
Н	24hr	ANOVA	<0.0001
Н	ZT0-12	Kruskal-Wallis	<0.0001
Н	ZT12-24	Kruskal-Wallis	0.0648

Reagents

Drosophila melanogaster stocks used in experiments

Fly Lines	Genotype	Source	Identifier
UAS-FLAG-PR50- eGFP	poly-PR50.UAS.Tag:FLAG,EGFP ¹	Gift from Udai Pandey	FBtp0116650
UAS-FLAG-GA50- eGFP	poly-GA50.UAS.Tag:FLAG,EGFP ¹	Gift from Udai Pandey	FBtp0116648
elav(GS)-GAL4	y[1] w[*]; P{w[+mC]=elav- Switch.O}GSG301	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	FBst0043642
w ¹¹¹⁸	w[1118]	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	FBst0005905

 $^1 Genotypes as reported in Flybase: https://flybase.org/reports/FBrf0228084$

Reagents used

Reagents



Iifepristone (RU486)	Sigma Aldrich

Acknowledgements:

We thank Sofia Malaspina and Umme Orthy for technical assistance with the sleep studies. We thank Nicole Cunningham and the Haverford Biology Department for providing reagents, resources, and funding for this project. We thank Christopher Vecsey at Skidmore College for the SCAMP sleep analysis software. We thank Dr. Udai Pandey for providing the PR50 and GA50 fly strains. Stocks obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (NIH P400D018537) were used in this study.

References

Afonso DJ, Liu D, Machado DR, Pan H, Jepson JE, Rogulja D, Koh K. 2015. TARANIS Functions with Cyclin A and Cdk1 in a Novel Arousal Center to Control Sleep in Drosophila. Curr Biol 25(13): 1717-26. PubMed ID: <u>26096977</u>

Arnulf I, Similowski T, Salachas F, Garma L, Mehiri S, Attali V, et al., Derenne JP. 2000. Sleep disorders and diaphragmatic function in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 161(3 Pt 1): 849-56. PubMed ID: <u>10712332</u>

Ash PE, Bieniek KF, Gendron TF, Caulfield T, Lin WL, Dejesus-Hernandez M, et al., Petrucelli L. 2013. Unconventional translation of C9ORF72 GGGGCC expansion generates insoluble polypeptides specific to c9FTD/ALS. Neuron 77(4): 639-46. PubMed ID: <u>23415312</u>

Boentert M. 2020. Sleep and Sleep Disruption in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 20(7): 25. PubMed ID: <u>32462331</u>

Boeynaems S, Bogaert E, Michiels E, Gijselinck I, Sieben A, Jovičić A, et al., Van Den Bosch L. 2016. Drosophila screen connects nuclear transport genes to DPR pathology in c9ALS/FTD. Sci Rep 6: 20877. PubMed ID: <u>26869068</u>

Cirelli C. 2009. The genetic and molecular regulation of sleep: from fruit flies to humans. Nat Rev Neurosci 10(8): 549-60. PubMed ID: <u>19617891</u>

Cirelli C, Bushey D. 2008. Sleep and wakefulness in Drosophila melanogaster. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1129: 323-9. PubMed ID: <u>18591491</u>

Dedeene L, Van Schoor E, Vandenberghe R, Van Damme P, Poesen K, Thal DR. 2019. Circadian sleep/wake-associated cells show dipeptide repeat protein aggregates in C9orf72-related ALS and FTLD cases. Acta Neuropathol Commun 7(1): 189. PubMed ID: <u>31791419</u>

DeJesus-Hernandez M, Mackenzie IR, Boeve BF, Boxer AL, Baker M, Rutherford NJ, et al., Rademakers R. 2011. Expanded GGGGCC hexanucleotide repeat in noncoding region of C9ORF72 causes chromosome 9p-linked FTD and ALS. Neuron 72(2): 245-56. PubMed ID: <u>21944778</u>

Freibaum, B. D., & Taylor, J. P. (2017). The Role of Dipeptide Repeats in C9ORF72-Related ALS-FTD. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience*, *10*, 35.

Gupta R, Lan M, Mojsilovic-Petrovic J, Choi WH, Safren N, Barmada S, Lee MJ, Kalb R. 2017. The Proline/Arginine Dipeptide from Hexanucleotide Repeat Expanded C9ORF72 Inhibits the Proteasome. eNeuro 4(1). PubMed ID: <u>28197542</u>

Hayes LR, Duan L, Bowen K, Kalab P, Rothstein JD. 2020. C9orf72 arginine-rich dipeptide repeat proteins disrupt karyopherin-mediated nuclear import. Elife 9. PubMed ID: <u>32119645</u>

Hutten S, Usluer S, Bourgeois B, Simonetti F, Odeh HM, Fare CM, et al., Dormann D. 2020. Nuclear Import Receptors Directly Bind to Arginine-Rich Dipeptide Repeat Proteins and Suppress Their Pathological Interactions. Cell Rep 33(12): 108538. PubMed ID: <u>33357437</u>

Khosravi B, Hartmann H, May S, Möhl C, Ederle H, Michaelsen M, et al., Edbauer D. 2017. Cytoplasmic poly-GA aggregates impair nuclear import of TDP-43 in C9orf72 ALS/FTLD. Hum Mol Genet 26(4): 790-800. PubMed ID: <u>28040728</u>

Lee KH, Zhang P, Kim HJ, Mitrea DM, Sarkar M, Freibaum BD, et al., Taylor JP. 2016. C9orf72 Dipeptide Repeats Impair the Assembly, Dynamics, and Function of Membrane-Less Organelles. Cell 167(3): 774-788.e17. PubMed ID: <u>27768896</u>

Lee YB, Baskaran P, Gomez-Deza J, Chen HJ, Nishimura AL, Smith BN, et al., Shaw CE. 2017. C9orf72 poly GA RANtranslated protein plays a key role in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis via aggregation and toxicity. Hum Mol Genet 26(24): 4765-4777. PubMed ID: <u>28973350</u>



Lin Y, Mori E, Kato M, Xiang S, Wu L, Kwon I, McKnight SL. 2016. Toxic PR Poly-Dipeptides Encoded by the C9orf72 Repeat Expansion Target LC Domain Polymers. Cell 167(3): 789-802.e12. PubMed ID: <u>27768897</u>

Lo Coco D, Mattaliano P, Spataro R, Mattaliano A, La Bella V. 2011. Sleep-wake disturbances in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 82(8): 839-42. PubMed ID: <u>21217159</u>

Mackenzie IR, Frick P, Grässer FA, Gendron TF, Petrucelli L, Cashman NR, et al., Neumann M. 2015. Quantitative analysis and clinico-pathological correlations of different dipeptide repeat protein pathologies in C9ORF72 mutation carriers. Acta Neuropathol 130(6): 845-61. PubMed ID: <u>26374446</u>

Majounie E, Renton AE, Mok K, Dopper EG, Waite A, Rollinson S, et al., Traynor BJ. 2012. Frequency of the C9orf72 hexanucleotide repeat expansion in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal dementia: a cross-sectional study. Lancet Neurol 11(4): 323-30. PubMed ID: <u>22406228</u>

Mizielinska S, Grönke S, Niccoli T, Ridler CE, Clayton EL, Devoy A, et al., Isaacs AM. 2014. C9orf72 repeat expansions cause neurodegeneration in Drosophila through arginine-rich proteins. Science 345(6201): 1192-1194. PubMed ID: <u>25103406</u>

Patke, A., Young, M. W., & Axelrod, S. (2020). Molecular mechanisms and physiological importance of circadian rhythms. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, *21*(2), 67–84. PMID: 31768006

Sun X, Zhao X, Liu Q, Liu S, Zhang K, Wang ZL, et al., Zhang X. 2020. Study on sleep-wake disorders in patients with genetic and non-genetic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. PubMed ID: <u>33087425</u>

Ting L, Malhotra A. 2005. Disorders of sleep: an overview. Prim Care 32(2): 305-18, v. PubMed ID: 15935187

Vanneste J, Vercruysse T, Boeynaems S, Sicart A, Van Damme P, Daelemans D, Van Den Bosch L. 2019. C9orf72-generated poly-GR and poly-PR do not directly interfere with nucleocytoplasmic transport. Sci Rep 9(1): 15728. PubMed ID: <u>31673013</u>

Wen X, Tan W, Westergard T, Krishnamurthy K, Markandaiah SS, Shi Y, et al., Trotti D. 2014. Antisense proline-arginine RAN dipeptides linked to C9ORF72-ALS/FTD form toxic nuclear aggregates that initiate in vitro and in vivo neuronal death. Neuron 84(6): 1213-25. PubMed ID: <u>25521377</u>

White MR, Mitrea DM, Zhang P, Stanley CB, Cassidy DE, Nourse A, et al., Kriwacki RW. 2019. C9orf72 Poly(PR) Dipeptide Repeats Disturb Biomolecular Phase Separation and Disrupt Nucleolar Function. Mol Cell 74(4): 713-728.e6. PubMed ID: <u>30981631</u>

Wu MN, Ho K, Crocker A, Yue Z, Koh K, Sehgal A. 2009. The effects of caffeine on sleep in Drosophila require PKA activity, but not the adenosine receptor. J Neurosci 29(35): 11029-37. PubMed ID: <u>19726661</u>

Zhang YJ, Gendron TF, Grima JC, Sasaguri H, Jansen-West K, Xu YF, et al., Petrucelli L. 2016. C9ORF72 poly(GA) aggregates sequester and impair HR23 and nucleocytoplasmic transport proteins. Nat Neurosci 19(5): 668-677. PubMed ID: <u>26998601</u>

Funding:

Supported by Haverford College Faculty Research Grant to Robert Fairman.

Supported by Haverford College Visiting Faculty Research Fund to Seol Hee Im.

Author Contributions: Genevieve Uy: investigation, formal analysis, writing - original draft, writing - review editing, conceptualization. Laura N. Farrell: formal analysis. Syeda F. Faheem: formal analysis. Lauren E. Kinne: investigation. Madison G. Adore: conceptualization, investigation, formal analysis. Seol Hee Im: conceptualization, funding acquisition, supervision, writing - review editing. Robert Fairman: conceptualization, writing - review editing, project administration, funding acquisition.

Reviewed By: Anonymous

Nomenclature Validated By: FlyBase Curators

History: Received September 2, 2023 **Revision Received** January 31, 2024 **Accepted** March 1, 2024 **Published Online** March 1, 2024 **Indexed** March 15, 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Uy, G; Farrell, LN; Faheem, SF; Kinne, LE; Adore, MG; Im, SH; Fairman, R (2024). The Effects of poly-GA and poly-PR *C9orf72* Dipeptide Repeats on Sleep Patterns in *Drosophila melanogaster*. microPublication Biology.



3/1/2024 - Open Access 10.17912/micropub.biology.000973