

# Worms love Coffee too! Characterizing the neural substrates that regulate odor-guided responses to coffee

Ashley Vega<sup>1\*</sup>, Alexis Chua<sup>1\*</sup>, Annabelle Tran<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Amber Seader<sup>1</sup>, Emily Chang<sup>1</sup>, Liz Ayala<sup>1</sup>, Adriana Torres<sup>1</sup>, Gianina Pontrelli<sup>1</sup>, Gareth Harris<sup>1§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>California State University, Channel Islands, Camarillo, California, United States

<sup>2</sup>Jules Stein Eye Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, United States

<sup>§</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed: Gareth.harris@csuci.edu

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally.

#### Abstract

The coffee industry reaches over 80 billion US dollars in revenue partially due to the numerous chemicals that allow for coffee's highly attractive aroma and overall flavor. Many people integrate coffee into their everyday routine; therefore, understanding the attraction to coffee can facilitate, 1) the characterization of its attractive nature, and, 2) allow further understanding of how humans interpret taste and smell on a molecular and cellular level, from initial sensation to higher processing of these complex neural signals. We report that the model worm, *C. elegans*, can smell and perform strong attraction behavior using chemotaxis towards various types of coffee odors. In this study, we show that the nematode *C. elegans* is strongly attracted to various forms of coffee. We have also identified neuronal molecules that mediate this sensory-dependent behavior. Overall, we provide a platform to more thoroughly dissect the mechanisms and neuronal circuits that mediate odor-guided behavior to a complex human-sensed stimulus.



### Figure 1. Wild type worms are strongly attracted to coffee odor in different forms and use specific sensory transduction mechanisms:

#### Figure 1

a) Schematic diagram of behavioral assay: chemotaxis assay for worms. Worms placed in the center of a 10 cm (100 mm) NGM agar plate. Number of worms recorded at attractant (coffee) and control at 15, 30, and 45 minute time points after application of coffee to the chemotaxis plate (Attraction index calculated as described in methodology). Wild type worms were examined for attraction to coffee solution (dark roast) (b) n=7 trials, coffee beans (c) n=4 trials, coffee grounds (d) n=4 trials, decaffeinated coffee (e) n=5 trials, espresso (f) n=4 trials. Attraction to coffee solution was compared between wild type and genetic mutants for *tax-2(ks31)* (g) n=4 trials, *tax-4(p678)* (h) n=4 trials, *tax-2(p671);tax-4(p678)* (i) n=5 trials, *osm-9(ky10)* (j) n=3 trials, *cng-3(jh113);cng-1(jh111)* (k) n=4 trials, *odr-3(n2150)* (l) n=3 trials, *odr-1(n1936)* (m) n=4 trials, *daf-11(m47)* (n) n=5 trials. o) Image of wild type worm population at coffee solution at coffee grounds after 10 minutes exposure (wild type N2 worms shown). p) Image of wild type worm population at coffee grounds after 10 minutes exposure (wild type N2 worms shown). q) Control experiment showing chemotactic index without any coffee present on the plate

(containing water only) across 45 minutes (15, 30 and 45) showed no obvious bias in N2 worms, n=7 trials (blue bars). Note: For all graphs examining mutant animals, black bars= N2, green bars=mutants showing normal behavior, blue bars = defective mutants when compared to wild type N2. For all data analysis, Mean±SEM. A Mann-Whitney U Test was performed across each time point for all N2 vs mutant comparison tested on same day in parallel conditions,  $p \le 0.05^*$ ,  $p \le 0.01^{**}$ ,  $p \le 0.001^{***}$ . ns=not statistically significant when comparing N2 and mutant genotype. For all assays in each panel, trials were performed on different days and consisted of 50-100 animals.

#### Description

Coffee consumption in humans is due in part to its attractive aroma and flavor. Coffee odors and taste perception are often coupled with caffeine, and it has been shown that regular coffee consumers are more sensitive to caffeine-associated smells than their non-consumer counterparts (Parker et al., 2019). However, caffeine does not significantly affect coffee odor identification and threshold (Orgill et al., 2020; Meusel et al., 2016; Han et al., 2020). The number of chemicals sensed by an individual is correlated to threshold, discrimination, and identification status, as well as odor intensity demonstrating variability in coffee odor perception (Crnjar et al., 2023). A number of studies show coffee is attractive to humans and select invertebrates, including Mediterranean fruit flies (Prokopy et al., 1996; Prokopy et al., 1997). Despite this work, the underlying cellular mechanisms that mediate responses to coffee odor are not fully understood.

Here we investigate whether the nematode <u>*C. elegans*</u> is attracted to coffee. Previous studies have investigated <u>*C. elegans*</u> behavior in the presence of coffee, tea and other human consumed products, but none have addressed chemotactic responses (Urushihata et al., 2016; Min et al., 2017; Du et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2022). In addition, worms have been treated with caffeine to assess behavior, for example, assessing the effects on worm longevity, behavioral plasticity, locomotion, and growth in the presence of caffeine (Kuo-Esser et al., 2023; Min et al., 2021; Manalo and Medina, 2020). We use a plate chemotaxis assay that measures populations of adult worms in response to presented coffee odors (Ramos et al., 2020; Ramos et al., 2022). We report that wild type worms are attracted to coffee.

To examine chemosensory behavior to coffee, we used a standard chemotaxis assay (Sengupta et al., 1996; Troemel et al., 1997; Bargmann et al., 1993; Bargmann et al., 1991; Wes and Bargmann, 2001). We placed young adult wild type C. *elegans* on a chemotaxis plate in the presence of coffee solution (Figure 1a). We examined chemotaxis behavior based on attraction or repulsion to coffee drops placed at one end of the chemotaxis plate (Figure 1a-d). Wild type animals show high attraction to coffee (Figure 1a/b, image 1o). We also examined attraction to coffee in different forms, such as coffee grounds, espresso and coffee beans. Worms showed strong attraction to all of these (Figure 1c-f, image 1p). To address if the attraction to coffee was due to the presence of caffeine, we examined attraction to decaffeinated coffee (Figure 1e). Worms showed attractive behavior to decaffeinated coffee with similar index as coffee (Figure 1e). This suggests that coffee attraction in <u>*C. elegans*</u> is due to chemicals other than caffeine. We finally confirmed as a control that performing a chemotaxis assay with no coffee at either side of the plate (using water only) showed no behavioral bias (Figure 1q).

To dissect the neural pathways that control coffee odor attraction, we examined genetic mutants that lack known sensory transduction genes involved in sensory behavior (Bargmann et al., 1991; Ward et al., 2008; Ferkey et al., 2020). We examined mutants that lack ion channels, including cGMP-gated cation channels (TAX-2/4), transient receptor potential villanoid channels (OSM-9), and other cyclic nucleotide-gated channels (CNG-1/3) (Tobin et al., 2002; Komatsu, et al., 1996; Coburn and Bargmann, 1996; Ferkey et al., 2020; Gray et al., 2004; Harris et al., 2014; Cho et al., 2004; He et al., 2016; Figure 1). These genes were chosen based on their known expression in select sensory neurons and roles in sensing different types of odorants (de Bono and Maricq, 2005, review). <u>osm-9(ky10)</u> null mutants showed wild type attraction to coffee (Figure 1j). <u>tax-2(ks31)</u> and <u>tax-4(p678)</u> reduction of function mutants show a reduced attraction (Figure 1g-h, Coburn and Bargmann, 1996; Komatsu et al., 1996). In addition, <u>tax-2(p671)</u>; <u>tax-4(p678)</u> double mutants show a steep reduction in attraction (Figure 1i). <u>cng-3(jh113</u>); <u>cng-1(jh111</u>) mutants show wild type attraction to coffee, suggesting no role in coffee attraction (Figure 1k, Shinkai et al., 2011; He et al., 2016). Taken together, this reveals a role for cGMP-gated ion channels in coffee sensation.

We next examined mutants lacking G-protein alpha subunits (Jaansen et al., 1999; Laans et al., 2004; Zwaal et al., 1997). Mutants lacking <u>odr-3</u>, a Gi/o alpha subunit (Royaie et al., 1998), showed reduced attraction to coffee, suggesting that G-protein signaling is important for coffee chemoattraction (Figure 11). <u>odr-3</u> has been previously implicated in multiple sensory behaviors (Royaie et al., 1998; Harris et al., 2014; Ramos et al., 2022).

We then examined additional G-protein-dependent signaling genes. Two receptor guanylate cyclase (rGCs) genes, *odr-1* and *daf-11*, that are known to be expressed in sensory neurons required for sensation of different stimuli (L' etoille et al., 2001; Birnby et al., 2000; Lui et al., 2010; Harris et al., 2014). *odr-1(n1936)* mutants were normal for coffee attraction (Figure 1m). *daf-11(m47)* mutants showed reduced attraction to coffee (Figure 1n). Overall, we have characterized a new odor that is

## microPublication BIOLOGY

#### 10/18/2024 - Open Access

attractive to <u>*C. elegans*</u> and have identified specific genes encoding cGMP-gated channels, G-protein-alpha subunits and receptor guanylate cyclases (rGCs) that are involved in coffee attraction.

#### **Methods**

Wild type worms were reared as previously described (Brenner et al., 1974; Hart et al., Wormbook, 2000). Wild type worms were cultivated on *E. coli* <u>OP50</u> standard lab food source prior to testing in the chemotaxis assay. Wild type (<u>N2</u>) worms (CGC, Minnesota) were used in all chemotaxis assays and compared to all mutants tested in parallel. 10 cm (100 mm) plates (Thermofisher) were used for behavioral assays with no *E. coli* <u>OP50</u> food present on the chemotaxis assay plate.

#### Worm attraction assays

Chemotaxis assay plates are NGM (nematode growth media) prepared two days before each assay. Approximately 50 - 100wild type young adult worms were tested in each population assay. Briefly, NGM chemotaxis plates used for the assay were left at room temperature on the day of the behavioral assay. Wild type worms are washed three times in S. basal solution (As described in Bargmann et al., 1993; Bargmann and Horvitz, 1991; Troemel et al., 1997). The remaining worm pellet was added through pipetting to the center of the chemotaxis assay plate as seen in figure 1a. For select assays, wild type worms were initially transferred from an E. coli food plate to a transfer plate(non food plate-to allow food to be removed from worms) and then transferred to a drop of S. basal in the center of the chemotaxis plate. Once the worm pellet had dried on the chemotaxis assay plate (5 minutes), 3 drops of 2 microliter coffee was added to one side of the chemotaxis plate (as shown in figure 1a, schematic assay diagram). A control sample of water was added to the opposite side of the assay plate. Small drops of sodium azide (1 microliter at 1M) were used to paralyze worms either at the coffee source or control source. Sodium azide was placed immediately next to odor spots. Worms in the center of the plate at the beginning of the assay will be left to analyze their chemotaxis over multiple time points (15, 30, and 45 minutes after worms were added to assay plate), which will either be analyzed for attraction, repulsion or no bias to the coffee solution. To determine any attraction or avoidance, index's were generated based on calculating distribution of worms across NGM agar assay plates at 15, 30, and 45 minutes after coffee addition (see Figure 1a-l). To determine attraction index throughout the assay with wild type and mutant animals (Bargmann et al., 1993), total number (#) of worms paralyzed at odor source (paralyzed at coffee) - total number (#) of worms paralyzed at control sample on opposite side of the assay plate/total number (#) of worms on the whole chemotaxis assay plate counted at the beginning of the assay (i.e. includes all worms at attractant (coffee), control (no coffee) and worms that did not make either point source (other)). For the threshold for a worm to be counted at either side of the plate, worms were only counted as being at either side of the plate if the worms were paralyzed. Attraction index values range from 0 to +1.0, 0 being no attraction, and increasing positive number representing stronger attraction (Bargmann et al., 1993; Troemel et al., 1997 and Wormbook.org), for 1b-1o graphs. For coffee beans: two beans were added per experiment to one end of the chemotaxis plate. For coffee grounds: a small amount of coffee grounds were placed at one end of the chemotaxis plate. Sodium azide was placed next to coffee beans or coffee grounds as normal to paralyze worms at the attractant. For solvent control assays on NGM agar plates, multiple drops of water were placed at each end of the assay plate and index's were calculated over 15, 30 and 45 minutes to confirm no bias observed without coffee (7 days repeated for wild type <u>N2</u> worms in this control).

All animals were compared to wild type animals tested in parallel on the same day. Mean+/-SE, was determined per experiment and a Mann-Whitney U Test was performed to compare wild type N2 vs mutant,  $p \le 0.05^*$ ,  $p \le 0.01^{**}$ ,  $p \le 0.001^{***}$ , to allow examination of significant differences between wild type and mutant worm strains at each time point (15, 30, and 45 minutes) tested on same day. For all assays in each panel, n=number of trials that were performed on different days and consisted of 50-100 animals.

#### Reagents

#### Strain list for present study:

Wild type <u>N2</u>(Bristol), <u>FK104</u> <u>tax-2(ks31)</u>, <u>PR678</u> <u>tax-4(p678)</u>, <u>CX10</u> <u>osm-9(ky10)</u>, <u>BR5514</u> <u>tax-2(p671)</u>;<u>tax-4(p678)</u>, <u>DR47</u> <u>daf-11(m47)</u>, <u>CX2065</u> <u>odr-1(n1936)</u>, <u>CX2205</u> <u>odr-3(n2150)</u>, <u>KJ5560</u> <u>cng-3(jh113)</u>; <u>cng-1(jh111)</u>.

#### **Reagents:**

Coffee samples/types used in present study: Dark roast coffee solution (Caffe Verona solution, Coffee Arabica), espresso coffee (Cafe La Llave espresso-100% pure coffee, purchased), ground coffee (Nescafe Gold Blend, dark roast, purchased), whole bean coffee (Caffe Verona, purchased). Decaffeinated coffee solution (Pike Place, purchased). No milk/cream or sugar was added to any coffee samples tested in the present study. All coffee products tested were used on the same day of/or day after purchase. Note: We have tested multiple medium roast and dark roast coffee of a variety of types, including, Caffe Verona (dark roast), Pike Place (medium roast), Sirens Blend, Nescafe Gold blend Classico (dark roast) and Sumatra (dark

roast) that all show attraction to <u>*C. elegans*</u>. All coffee types used in the present study were purchased from Starbucks coffee shops, and local supermarkets. All coffee used were cooled to around room temperature before use in chemotaxis assays.

#### **Acknowledgements:**

We thank undergraduate lab members of the Harris lab for reading the manuscript and critical discussion of the data for this experiment. This project was completed by biology undergraduate researchers under the supervision of the principal investigator of the lab, Dr. Gareth Harris, at California State University Channel Islands. We also thank our biology program Instructional Support Technicians, Jessica Dalton, Catherine Hutchinson and Krisha Algoso for their diligent efforts with reagent ordering and help with other aspects of our lab. We thank the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC), University of Minnesota for all strains tested.

#### References

Bargmann CI, Horvitz HR. 1991. Chemosensory neurons with overlapping functions direct chemotaxis to multiple chemicals in C. elegans. Neuron 7(5): 729-42. PubMed ID: <u>1660283</u>

Bargmann CI, Hartwieg E, Horvitz HR. 1993. Odorant-selective genes and neurons mediate olfaction in C. elegans. Cell 74(3): 515-27. PubMed ID: <u>8348618</u>

Birnby DA, Link EM, Vowels JJ, Tian H, Colacurcio PL, Thomas JH. 2000. A Transmembrane Guanylyl Cyclase (DAF-11) and Hsp90 (DAF-21) Regulate a Common Set of Chemosensory Behaviors in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 155: 85-104. DOI: <u>10.1093/genetics/155.1.85</u>

Brenner S. 1974. THE GENETICS OF CAENORHABDITIS ELEGANS. Genetics 77: 71-94. DOI: .10.1093/genetics/77.1.71

Cho SW, Choi KY, Park CS. 2004. A new putative cyclic nucleotide-gated channel gene, cng-3, is critical for thermotolerance in Caenorhabditis elegans. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 325(2): 525-31. PubMed ID: <u>15530424</u>

Coburn CM, Bargmann CI. 1996. A putative cyclic nucleotide-gated channel is required for sensory development and function in C. elegans. Neuron 17(4): 695-706. PubMed ID: <u>8893026</u>

Crnjar R, Solari P, Sollai G. 2023. The Human Nose as a Chemical Sensor in the Perception of Coffee Aroma: Individual Variability. Chemosensors 11, 248. DOI: <u>10.3390/chemosensors11040248</u>

de Bono M, Maricq AV. 2005. Neuronal substrates of complex behaviors in C. elegans. Annu Rev Neurosci 28: 451-501. PubMed ID: <u>16022603</u>

Du X, Guan Y, Huang Q, Lv M, He X, Yan L, et al., Sheng, J. 2018. Low Concentrations of Caffeine and Its Analogs Extend the Lifespan of Caenorhabditis elegans by Modulating IGF-1-Like Pathway. Front Aging Neurosci 10: 211. PubMed ID: <u>30061824</u>

Gray JM, Karow DS, Lu H, Chang AJ, Chang JS, Ellis RE, Marletta MA, and Bargmann CI. 2004. Oxygen sensation and social feeding mediated by a *C. elegans* guanylate cyclase homologue. Nature 430, 317–322. DOI: <u>10.1038/nature02714</u>

Han K, Lee J, Choi BY, Jeong H, Cho JH, Kim JK. 2020. Does Improved Attention Induced by Caffeine Intake Affect Olfactory Function? Clin Exp Otorhinolaryngol 13(1): 52-57. DOI: <u>10.21053/ceo.2018.01424</u>

Harris G, Shen Y, Ha H, Donato A, Wallis S, Zhang X, Zhang Y. 2014. Dissecting the signaling mechanisms underlying recognition and preference of food odors. J Neurosci 34(28):9389-403. DOI: <u>10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0012-14.2014.</u>

Hart A. 2006. Behavior. WormBook : 10.1895/wormbook.1.87.1. DOI: <u>10.1895/wormbook.1.87.1</u>

He C, Altshuler-Keylin S, Daniel D, L'Etoile ND, O'Halloran D. 2016. The cyclic nucleotide gated channel subunit CNG-1 instructs behavioral outputs in Caenorhabditis elegans by coincidence detection of nutritional status and olfactory input. Neuroscience Letters 632: 71-78. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.neulet.2016.08.037</u>

Jansen G, Thijssen KL, Werner P, van derHorst M, Hazendonk E, Plasterk RHA. 1999. The complete family of genes encoding G proteins of Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature Genetics 21: 414-419. DOI: <u>10.1038/7753</u>

Komatsu H, Mori I, Rhee JS, Akaike N, Ohshima Y. 1996. Mutations in a Cyclic Nucleotide–Gated Channel Lead to Abnormal Thermosensation and Chemosensation in C. elegans. Neuron 17: 707-718. DOI: <u>10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80202-0</u>

Kuo-Esser L, Chen R, Lawson K, Kuchinski K, Simmons N, Dominguez M, et al., Wetzel. 2024. Early-life caffeine exposure induces morphological changes and altered physiology in Caenorhabditis elegans. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 690: 149240. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.bbrc.2023.149240</u>



Lans H, Rademakers S, Jansen G. 2004. A Network of Stimulatory and Inhibitory Gα-Subunits Regulates Olfaction in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 167: 1677-1687. DOI: <u>10.1534/genetics.103.024786</u>

L'Etoile ND, Bargmann CI. 2000. Olfaction and Odor Discrimination Are Mediated by the C. elegans Guanylyl Cyclase ODR-1. Neuron 25: 575-586. DOI: <u>10.1016/s0896-6273(00)81061-2</u>

Liu J, Ward A, Gao J, Dong Y, Nishio N, Inada H, et al., Xu. 2010. C. elegans phototransduction requires a G protein– dependent cGMP pathway and a taste receptor homolog. Nature Neuroscience 13: 715-722. DOI: <u>10.1038/nn.2540</u>

Manalo RVM, Medina PMB. 2020. Caffeine reduces deficits in mechanosensation and locomotion induced by L-DOPA and protects dopaminergic neurons in a transgenic *Caenorhabditis elegans* model of Parkinson's disease. Pharmaceutical Biology 58: 721-731. DOI: <u>10.1080/13880209.2020.1791192</u>

Masuo Y, Satou T, Takemoto H, Koike K. 2021. Smell and Stress Response in the Brain: Review of the Connection between Chemistry and Neuropharmacology. Molecules 26: 2571. DOI: <u>10.3390/molecules26092571</u>

Mehle EA, Sojka SE, K C M, Zel RM, Reese SJ, Ferkey DM. 2020. The *C. elegans* TRPV channel proteins OSM-9 and OCR-2 contribute to aversive chemical sensitivity. MicroPubl Biol 10.17912/micropub.biology.000277. DOI: 10.17912/micropub.biology.000277

Meusel T, Albinus J, Welge-Luessen A, Hähner A, Hummel T. 2016. Short-term effect of caffeine on olfactory function in hyposmic patients. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology 273: 2091-2095. DOI: <u>10.1007/s00405-015-3879-z</u>

Min H, Youn E, Shim YH. 2021. Long-Term Caffeine Intake Exerts Protective Effects on Intestinal Aging by Regulating Vitellogenesis and Mitochondrial Function in an Aged Caenorhabditis Elegans Model. Nutrients 13: 2517. DOI: 10.3390/nu13082517

Prokopy RJ, Vargas RI. 1996. Attraction of Ceratitis capitata (Diptera: Tephritidae) flies to odor of coffee fruit. Journal of Chemical Ecology 22: 807-820. DOI: <u>10.1007/BF02033588</u>

Prokopy RJ, Phillips TW, Vargas RI, *et al.* 1997. Defining Sources of Coffee Plant Odor Attractive to *Ceratitis Capitata* Flies. J Chem Ecol 23: 1577–1587. DOI: <u>10.1023/B:JOEC.000006423.53109.15</u>

Ramos B, Harris G. 2020. Worms avoid a cat sensed repellent. MicroPubl Biol 10.17912/micropub.biology.000331. DOI: <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.000331</u>.

Ramos B, Cruz B, DeLong A, Pontrelli G, Harris G. 2022. Worms like catnip too! Identification of a new odor attractant in *C. elegans*. MicroPubl Biol. 10.17912/micropub.biology.000568. DOI: <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.000568</u>.

Roayaie K, Crump JG, Sagasti A, Bargmann CI. 1998. The Gα Protein ODR-3 Mediates Olfactory and Nociceptive Function and Controls Cilium Morphogenesis in C. elegans Olfactory Neurons. Neuron 20: 55-67. DOI: <u>10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80434-1</u>

Sengupta P, Chou JH, Bargmann CI(1996). odr-10 Encodes a Seven Transmembrane Domain Olfactory Receptor Required for Responses to the Odorant Diacetyl. Cell 84(6): 99-909. DOI: <u>10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81068-5</u>

Shinkai Y, Yamamoto Y, Fujiwara M, Tabata T, Murayama T, Hirotsu T, et al., Ishihara. 2011. Behavioral Choice between Conflicting Alternatives Is Regulated by a Receptor Guanylyl Cyclase, GCY-28, and a Receptor Tyrosine Kinase, SCD-2, in AIA Interneurons of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. The Journal of Neuroscience 31: 3007-3015. DOI: <u>10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4691-10.2011</u>

Stafford LD, Orgill K. 2020. The effects of caffeine on olfactory function and mood: an exploratory study. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 237(12): 3511-3517. PubMed ID: <u>33123819</u>

Stafford LD, Damant K, Ashurst S, Parker MO. 2020. Higher olfactory sensitivity to coffee odour in habitual caffeine users. Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology 28: 245-250. DOI: <u>10.1037/pha0000293</u>

Tobin DM, Madsen DM, Kahn-Kirby A, Peckol EL, Moulder G, Barstead R, Maricq AV, Bargmann CI. 2002. Combinatorial Expression of TRPV Channel Proteins Defines Their Sensory Functions and Subcellular Localization in C. elegans Neurons. Neuron 35: 307-318. DOI: <u>10.1016/s0896-6273(02)00757-2</u>

Troemel ER, Kimmel BE, Bargmann CI. 1997. Reprogramming Chemotaxis Responses: Sensory Neurons Define Olfactory Preferences in C. elegans. Cell 91: 161-169. DOI: <u>10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80399-2</u>

Urushihata T, Takuwa H, Higuchi Y, Sakata K, Wakabayashi T, Nishino A, Matsuura T. 2016. Inhibitory effects of caffeine on gustatory plasticity in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry 80: 1990-1994. DOI: <u>10.1080/09168451.2016.1191327</u>



Ward A, Lui J, Feng Z, Xu XZS. 2008. Light-sensitive neurons and channels mediate phototaxis in C. elegans. Nat Neurosci 11(8): 916-922. PubMed ID: <u>2652401</u>

Wes PD, Bargmann CI. 2001. C. elegans odour discrimination requires asymmetric diversity in olfactory neurons. Nature 410: 698-701. DOI: <u>10.1038/35070581</u>

Zhang W, Zhang N, Zheng S, Zhang W, Liu J, He L, et al., Gao. 2022. Effects of commercial beverages on the neurobehavioral motility of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PeerJ 10: e13563. DOI: <u>10.7717/peerj.13563</u>

Zwaal RR, Mendel JE, Sternberg PW, Plasterk RHA. 1997. Two Neuronal G Proteins are Involved in Chemosensation of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* Dauer-Inducing Pheromone. Genetics 145: 715-727. DOI: <u>10.1093/genetics/145.3.715</u>

#### Funding:

California State University Channel Islands-Biology program lab funds (MFST funding through CSUCI-2021-2022). For select students who were included in authorship, this material is based upon work supported by the HSI-STEM Model for Research and Teaching program, which is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant HRD-1928693 (Fall/Spring 2020/2021/2023/2024).

**Author Contributions:** Ashley Vega: formal analysis, methodology, investigation. Alexis Chua: investigation, methodology, formal analysis. Annabelle Tran: formal analysis, methodology, visualization. Amber Seader: investigation, methodology, data curation. Emily Chang: investigation, formal analysis, methodology. Liz Ayala: methodology. Adriana Torres: formal analysis. Gianina Pontrelli: formal analysis, data curation, investigation. Gareth Harris: formal analysis, methodology, writing - review editing, data curation, funding acquisition, investigation, supervision, conceptualization, resources.

#### Reviewed By: Lauren O'Connell

#### Nomenclature Validated By: Anonymous

History: Received June 2, 2024 Revision Received August 14, 2024 Accepted October 8, 2024 Published Online October 18, 2024 Indexed November 1, 2024

**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Citation:** Vega, A; Chua, A; Tran, A; Seader, A; Chang, E; Ayala, L; et al.; Harris, G (2024). Worms love Coffee too! Characterizing the neural substrates that regulate odor-guided responses to coffee. microPublication Biology. <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.001242</u>