

# Gene model for the ortholog of *Wnt6* in *Drosophila cardini*


Brenna Peruso<sup>1</sup>, Pablo Chialvo<sup>1</sup>, Clare Scott Chialvo<sup>1§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biology, Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina USA

<sup>§</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed: chialvoch@appstate.edu

## Abstract

We developed a gene model for the *Wnt* oncogene analog 6 ortholog (*Wnt6*) in the ASM1890373v1 Genome Assembly (GenBank Accession: [GCA\\_018903735.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCA_018903735.1)) of *Drosophila cardini*. This ortholog was characterized as part of a developing dataset for a comparative study of detoxification gene family evolution in the *immigrans-tripunctata* radiation of the genus *Drosophila* using an adapted Genomics Education Partnership gene annotation protocol for Course-based Undergraduate Research Experiences.



**Figure 1. Genomic neighborhood and gene model for *Wnt6* ortholog in *D. cardini*:**

(A) Synteny comparison of the genomic neighborhoods for *Wnt6* in *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Drosophila cardini*. Thin underlying arrows indicate which DNA strand the target gene – *Wnt6* – is located on in *D. melanogaster* (top) and *D. cardini* (bottom). The thin arrows pointing to the right indicate that *Wnt6* is on the positive strand in both *D. melanogaster* and *D. cardini*. The wide gene arrows pointing in the same direction as *Wnt6* are on the same strand relative to the thin underlying arrows, while wide gene arrows pointing in the opposite direction of *Wnt6* are on the opposite strand relative to the thin underlying arrows. White gene arrows in *D. cardini* indicate orthology to the corresponding gene in *D. melanogaster*. Gene symbols given in the *D. cardini* gene arrows indicate the orthologous gene in *D. melanogaster*, while the gene prediction identifiers are specific to *D. cardini*. (B) Gene Model in GEP UCSC Track Data Hub (Raney et al.,

2014). The coding-regions of *Wnt6* in *D. cardini* are displayed in the User Supplied Track (red); coding sequences (CDS) are depicted by thick rectangles and introns by thin lines with arrows indicating the direction of transcription. Subsequent evidence tracks include Spaln of *D. melanogaster* Proteins (purple, alignment of Ref-Seq proteins from *D. melanogaster*), Coding Regions Predicted by Augustus (dark blue), GeMoMa (teal), and NSCAN PASA-EST (dark green), and RNA-Seq from mixed sex adult flies (brown; alignment of Illumina RNA-Seq reads from *D. cardini* – Erlenbach et al., 2023). (C) **Dot Plot of *Wnt6*-PB in *D. melanogaster* (x-axis) vs. the orthologous peptide in *D. cardini* (y-axis).** Amino acid number is indicated along the left and bottom; CDS number is indicated along the top and right, and CDSs are also highlighted with alternating colors. Line breaks in the dot plot indicate areas of with low sequence identity between species. We noted a short break is present in CDS 3 (dark purple box – a) and another CDS 4 (light blue box – b). (D) **Idiosyncrasies in protein alignment.** We identified two short breaks in the protein alignment. The first break is observed in CDS 3 (dark purple box – a). It corresponds to a region of 41 amino acids found around 160-200. The gap is due primarily to the loss of nine amino acids in the *Wnt6* ortholog in *D. cardini*. Beyond these deletions, only seven amino acids are highly dissimilar. The second break occurs in CDS 4 (light blue box – b) and is 26 amino acids long. Of these amino acids, 18 are similar, and 4 are highly dissimilar.

## Description

This article reports a predicted gene model generated by undergraduate work using a structured gene model annotation protocol defined by the Genomics Education Partnership (GEP; [thegep.org](http://thegep.org)) for Course-based Undergraduate Research Experience (CURE). The following information in quotes may be repeated in other articles submitted by participants using the same GEP CURE protocol for annotating *Drosophila* species orthologs of *Drosophila melanogaster* detoxification genes.

“Within insects, detoxifying xenobiotics and host secondary metabolites is a three-phase process that involves functionalization, conjugation, and excretion of these compounds. Expansions of known detoxification gene families (e.g., cytochrome P450s) are associated with diet breadth and insecticide resistance (Ranson et al., 2002; Després et al., 2007; Rane et al., 2016). With the increasing availability of high-quality genomes for non-model organisms, including *Drosophila* species beyond *D. melanogaster*, it is now possible to perform large scale comparative studies (Robinson et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2021; Threfall and Baxter, 2021). Careful manual annotation and curation of gene models can improve upon computational gene predictions in non-model species, which aids the accuracy of studies on gene and genome evolution (Mudge and Harrow, 2016; Tello-Ruiz et al., 2019). To aid in these annotations, the Genomics Education Partnership ([thegep.org](http://thegep.org)) developed a curriculum involving web-based tools that allow undergraduates to engage in authentic course-based research focused on manually annotating genes in non-model species (Rele et al., 2023). The orthologous gene models, including the one presented here, then provide a reliable basis for further evolutionary genomic analyses when made available to the scientific community. The gene ortholog described here in *D. cardini* for *Wnt oncogene analog 6* (*Wnt6*), a member of the Wnt gene family, was characterized as part of a developing dataset for a comparative study of detoxification gene families in the *immigrans-tripunctata* radiation of the genus *Drosophila*.” (Williams et al., 2026)

“In the subgenus *Drosophila*, *D. cardini* Sturtevant 1916 is a member of the *cardini* subgroup in the *cardini* species group of the *immigrans-tripunctata* radiation (Heed and Krishnamurthy, 1959; Bächli, 2005). Species in the *cardini* subgroup are found in the mainland Neotropics, and the range of *D. cardini* extends from Florida to Brazil (Heed, 1962). Members of the *cardini* group primarily feed and develop on fruit and flowers (Markow and O’Grady, 2008). However, *D. cardini* is also reported to feed on mushrooms and can tolerate the cyclopeptide toxin  $\alpha$ -amanitin (Stump et al., 2011).” (Patel et al., 2026)

Wnt genes produce proteins classified as signaling ligands that are critical in organismal development and maintaining cellular homeostasis (Wodarz and Nusse, 1998; Logan and Nusse, 2004). Aberrant expression and mutations in these genes are associated with the development of diseases including cancer (Polakis, 2000; Logan and Nusse, 2004). Beyond their critical roles in organismal development across animals, Wnt genes also assist in the metabolism and detoxification of compounds (Zhang et al., 2017; Xue et al., 2025). Zhang et al. (2017) showed that Wnt genes played a critical role in the detoxification of the fungal toxin, epipolythiodioxopiperazine.

*Wnt oncogene analog 6* (*Wnt6*) is a member of the Wnt gene family that plays an important role in gut and wing development (Janson et al., 2001; van Amerongen and Nusse, 2009). While wounding of the larval wing imaginal disc leads to an upregulation of *Wnt 6* (Floc’hlay et al., 2023; Ewen-Campen and Perrimon, 2024), infections by the pathogenic bacterium, *Psuedomonas entomophila*, downregulates this gene (Deshpande et al., 2022).

We propose a gene model for the *D. cardini* ortholog of the *D. melanogaster Wnt oncogene analog 6* (*Wnt6*) gene. The genomic region of the ortholog corresponds to the GeMoMa prediction FBtr0303251\_R0 in the ASM1890373v1 genome assembly of *D. cardini* ([GCA\\_018903735.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCA_018903735.1) – Kim et al., 2021). This model is based on mixed sex, adult RNA-Seq data

from *D. cardini* (Erlenbach et al., 2023; <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.hdr7sqvq2>) and *Wnt6* in *D. melanogaster* using FlyBase release FB2024\_02 ([GCA\\_000001215.4](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.hdr7sqvq2); Öztürk-Çolak et al., 2024).

### Synteny

The reference gene, *Wnt6*, occurs on chromosome 2L in *D. melanogaster* and is flanked upstream by *wingless* (*wg*) and *Wnt oncogene analog 4* (*Wnt4*), which has *CG31909* nested within it, and downstream by *Wnt oncogene analog 10* (*Wnt10*) and *neither inactivation nor afterpotential C* (*ninaC*). The *tblastn* search of *D. melanogaster* *Wnt6*-PB (query) against the *D. cardini* Genome Assembly (GenBank Accession: [GCA\\_018903735.1](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.hdr7sqvq2); subject) placed the putative ortholog of *Wnt6* within contig\_841 ([JAEIGM010000005.1](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.hdr7sqvq2)) which corresponds to the GeMoMa prediction FBtr0303251\_R0 (E-value: 0.0; percent identity: 84.76% as determined by *blastp*). Within this prediction some RNA-Seq data mapped to the intronic region between exon1 and exon 2. Only Augustus generated a gene prediction that corresponds to this expression data ([JAEIGM010000005.g115.t1](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.hdr7sqvq2)). The *blastp* searches using this model did not recover any matches even with reduced stringency parameters. The putative ortholog is flanked upstream by the GeMoMa predictions FBtr0079432\_R0 and FBtr0089291\_R0, which correspond to *wg* and *Wnt4* in *D. melanogaster* (E-value: 0.0 and 0.0; identity: 82.56% and 70.23%, respectively, as determined by *blastp*; Figure 1A; Altschul et al., 1990). No RNA-seq data or gene predictions suggest that a gene is nested within *Wnt4* in *D. cardini*. The putative ortholog of *Wnt6* is flanked downstream by the GeMoMa prediction FBtr0481634\_R0 and the Augustus gene prediction [JAEIGM010000005.g118.t1](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.hdr7sqvq2), which correspond to *Wnt10* and *ninaC* in *D. melanogaster* (E-value: 0.0 and 0.0; identity: 82.76% and 91.09%, respectively, as determined by *blastp*). The putative ortholog assignment for *Wnt6* in *D. cardini* is supported by the following evidence: The gene predictions surrounding the *Wnt6* ortholog are orthologous to the genes at the same locus in *D. melanogaster*, gene expression data corresponds with each prediction, and local synteny is completely conserved, supported by E-values and percent identities, so we conclude that the GeMoMa prediction FBtr0303251\_R0 is an ortholog of *Wnt6* in *D. cardini* (Figure 1A and 1B).

### Protein Model

*Wnt6* in *D. cardini* has four coding sequences (CDS) within its genomic sequence. The first and only unique protein sequence is translated from two messenger RNA isoforms that differ in their untranslated regions (*Wnt6*-RB and *Wnt6*-RC; Figure 1B). Relative to the ortholog in *D. melanogaster*, the CDS number and protein isoform count are conserved. The sequence of *Wnt6*-PB in *D. cardini* has 84.8% identity (88.6% similarity) with the protein-coding isoform *Wnt6*-PBin *D. melanogaster*, as determined by *blastp* (Figure 1C). This level of divergence is not surprising given that *D. cardini* and *D. melanogaster* belong to two separate subgenera (*Drosophila* and *Sophophora* respectively) that diverged approximately 45-60 MYA (Russo et al., 1995; Tamura et al., 2004; Obbard et al., 2012). Coordinates of this curated gene model are archived in the CaltechDATA repository (see “Extended Data” section below).

### Methods

The annotation methods used in this project are adapted from those described in Rele et al. (2023), which includes algorithms, database versions, and citations for the complete annotation process developed for the Pathways Project. The methods for the current project are detailed in brief below with notes on significant differences between this protocol and the one described in Rele et al. (2023). The students use the GEP instance of the UCSC Genome Browser v.435 (<https://gander.wustl.edu>; Kent et al., 2002; Raney et al., 2024) to examine the genomic neighborhood of their reference detoxification gene in the *D. melanogaster* genome assembly (Aug. 2014; BDGP Release 6 + ISO1 MT/dm6). Students obtain the protein sequence for the *D. melanogaster* target gene for a given isoform and use a *tblastn* search of the sequence against their target *Drosophila* species genome assembly (*D. cardini* ([GCA\\_018903735.1](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.hdr7sqvq2) – Kim et al., 2021)) on the NCBI BLAST server (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>, Altschul et al., 1990) to identify the putative ortholog location. Students compare the genomic neighborhood of the putative ortholog to that of the reference gene in *D. melanogaster*. This local synteny analysis includes a minimum of two upstream and two downstream genes relative to the potential ortholog. As no RefSeq protein data is available for these species, comparisons are based on gene predictions that correlate with gene expression data in the putative ortholog neighborhood. Using the multiple alignment tracks feature in the Genome Browser, students examine other sets of genomic evidence, including Spaln alignment of *D. melanogaster* proteins, multiple gene prediction tracks (e.g., GeMoMa, Augustus, NSCAN PASA-EST), and mixed sex RNA-Seq adult expression data from the target species generated by Erlenbach et al. (2023; <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.hdr7sqvq2>). Information on the genomic structure information (e.g., CDSs, intron-exon number, number of isoforms) for the reference gene in *D. melanogaster* is retrieved using Gene Record Finder (<https://gander.wustl.edu/~wilson/dmelgenerecord/index.html>; Rele et al., 2023). To determine approximate splice sites within the target gene, a *tblastn* search using the CDSs from the *D. melanogaster* reference gene against the putative ortholog location (10kb up- and downstream of the target gene prediction). Coordinates of the CDS(s) are refined by examining aligned RNA-Seq data, identifying canonical splice site sequences, and ensuring the maintenance of an open reading frame. Students confirm the biological validity of their target gene model using the FlySeq Gene Model Checker (<https://gander2.wustl.edu/~wilson/genechecker-flyseq/>), which compares the hypothesized target gene model's structure and translated sequence against the *D. melanogaster* reference gene. At least two independent models for this gene are

generated. These models are reconciled by the primary investigator to produce the final model presented here. Note: comparison of 5' and 3' UTR sequence information is not included in this GEP CURE protocol.

**Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank Wilson Leung for developing and maintaining the technological infrastructure that was used to create this gene model and Laura K. Reed for overseeing the Genomics Education Partnership. Thank you to FlyBase for providing the definitive database for *Drosophila melanogaster* gene models.

## Extended Data

Description: Zip archive containing FASTA, PEP, and GFF files for wnt6 model in *D. cardini*. Resource Type: Model. File: [Dcar\\_Wnt6\\_model.tar.gz](#). DOI: [10.22002/mfrmj-nza23](#)

## References

- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* 215(3): 403-10. PubMed ID: [2231712](#)
- Bächli, G. (2005) Taxodros: The database on taxonomy of Drosophilidae, version February 2026, last accessed 28 May 2026. <https://taxodros.uzh.ch/>
- Deshpande R, Lee B, Grewal SS. 2022. Enteric bacterial infection in *Drosophila* induces whole-body alterations in metabolic gene expression independently of the immune deficiency signaling pathway. *G3 (Bethesda)* 12(11): 10.1093/g3journal/jkac163. PubMed ID: [35781508](#)
- Després L, David JP, Gallet C. 2007. The evolutionary ecology of insect resistance to plant chemicals. *Trends Ecol Evol* 22(6): 298-307. PubMed ID: [17324485](#)
- Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium, Clark AG, Eisen MB, Smith DR, Bergman CM, Oliver B, et al., MacCallum I. 2007. Evolution of genes and genomes on the *Drosophila* phylogeny. *Nature* 450(7167): 203-18. PubMed ID: [17994087](#)
- Erlenbach T, Haynes L, Fish O, Beveridge J, Giambrone SA, Reed LK, Dyer KA, Scott Chialvo CH. 2023. Investigating the phylogenetic history of toxin tolerance in mushroom-feeding *Drosophila*. *Ecol Evol* 13(12): e10736. PubMed ID: [38099137](#)
- Ewen-Campen B, Perrimon N. 2024. Wnt signaling modulates the response to DNA damage in the *Drosophila* wing imaginal disc by regulating the EGFR pathway. *PLoS Biol* 22(7): e3002547. PubMed ID: [39047051](#)
- Floc'hlay S, Balaji R, Stanković D, Christiaens VM, Bravo González-Blas C, De Winter S, et al., Aerts S. 2023. Shared enhancer gene regulatory networks between wound and oncogenic programs. *Elife* 12: 10.7554/eLife.81173. PubMed ID: [37133250](#)
- Heed, W.B., Krishnamurthy, N.B. (1959). Genetic studies on the cardini group of *Drosophila* in the West Indies. *University of Texas Publication* 5914, 155-179.
- Heed, W.B. (1962) Genetic characteristics of island populations. *University of Texas Publication* 6205, 173-206.
- Janson K, Cohen ED, Wilder EL. 2001. Expression of DWnt6, DWnt10, and DFz4 during *Drosophila* development. *Mech Dev* 103(1-2): 117-20. PubMed ID: [11335117](#)
- Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, Haussler D. 2002. The human genome browser at UCSC. *Genome Res* 12(6): 996-1006. PubMed ID: [12045153](#)
- Kim BY, Wang JR, Miller DE, Barmina O, Delaney E, Thompson A, et al., Petrov DA. 2021. Highly contiguous assemblies of 101 drosophilid genomes. *Elife* 10: 10.7554/eLife.66405. PubMed ID: [34279216](#)
- Logan CY, Nusse R. 2004. The Wnt signaling pathway in development and disease. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 20: 781-810. PubMed ID: [15473860](#)
- Markow TA, O'Grady P. 2008. Reproductive ecology of *Drosophila*. *Functional Ecology* 22: 747-759. DOI: [10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01457.x](#)
- Mudge JM, Harrow J. 2016. The state of play in higher eukaryote gene annotation. *Nat Rev Genet* 17(12): 758-772. PubMed ID: [27773922](#)
- Obbard DJ, MacLennan J, Kim KW, Rambaut A, O'Grady PM, Jiggins FM. 2012. Estimating divergence dates and substitution rates in the *Drosophila* phylogeny. *Mol Biol Evol* 29(11): 3459-73. PubMed ID: [22683811](#)
- Öztürk-Çolak A, Marygold SJ, Antonazzo G, Attrill H, Goutte-Gattat D, Jenkins VK, et al., Lovato. 2024. FlyBase: updates to the *Drosophila* genes and genomes database. *GENETICS* 227: 10.1093/genetics/iyad211. DOI: [10.1093/genetics/iyad211](#)

Patel P, Chialvo P, Scott Chialvo C. 2026. Gene model for the ortholog of sad in *Drosophila cardini*. MicroPubl Biol 2026: 10.17912/micropub.biology.002229. PubMed ID: [42434616](#)

Polakis P. 2000. Wnt signaling and cancer. Genes Dev 14(15): 1837-51. PubMed ID: [10921899](#)

Rane RV, Walsh TK, Pearce SL, Jermini LS, Gordon KH, Richards S, Oakeshott JG. 2016. Are feeding preferences and insecticide resistance associated with the size of detoxifying enzyme families in insect herbivores? Curr Opin Insect Sci 13: 70-76. PubMed ID: [27436555](#)

Raney BJ, Barber GP, Benet-Pagès A, Casper J, Clawson H, Cline MS, et al., Haeussler M. 2024. The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2024 update. Nucleic Acids Res 52(D1): D1082-D1088. PubMed ID: [37953330](#)

Raney BJ, Dreszer TR, Barber GP, Clawson H, Fujita PA, Wang T, et al., Kent WJ. 2014. Track data hubs enable visualization of user-defined genome-wide annotations on the UCSC Genome Browser. Bioinformatics 30(7): 1003-5. PubMed ID: [24227676](#)

Ranson H, Claudianos C, Ortellì F, Abgrall C, Hemingway J, Sharakhova MV, et al., Feyereisen R. 2002. Evolution of supergene families associated with insecticide resistance. Science 298(5591): 179-81. PubMed ID: [12364796](#)

Rele CP, Sandlin KM, Leung W, Reed LK. 2023. Manual annotation of *Drosophila* genes: a Genomics Education Partnership protocol. F1000Res 11: 1579. PubMed ID: [37854289](#)

Robinson GE, Hackett KJ, Purcell-Miramontes M, Brown SJ, Evans JD, Goldsmith MR, et al., Schneider DJ. 2011. Creating a buzz about insect genomes. Science 331(6023): 1386. PubMed ID: [21415334](#)

Russo CA, Takezaki N, Nei M. 1995. Molecular phylogeny and divergence times of drosophilid species. Mol Biol Evol 12(3): 391-404. PubMed ID: [7739381](#)

Stump AD, Jablonski SE, Bouton L, Wilder JA. 2011. Distribution and mechanism of  $\alpha$ -amanitin tolerance in mycophagous *Drosophila* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Environ Entomol 40(6): 1604-12. PubMed ID: [22217779](#)

Sturtevant AH. (1916) Notes on North American Drosophilidae with descriptions of twenty-three new species. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 9(4): 323-343.

Tamura K, Subramanian S, Kumar S. 2004. Temporal patterns of fruit fly (*Drosophila*) evolution revealed by mutation clocks. Mol Biol Evol 21(1): 36-44. PubMed ID: [12949132](#)

Tello-Ruiz MK, Marco CF, Hsu FM, Khangura RS, Qiao P, Sapkota S, et al., Micklos DA. 2019. Double triage to identify poorly annotated genes in maize: The missing link in community curation. PLoS One 14(10): e0224086. PubMed ID: [31658277](#)

Threlfall J, Blaxter M. 2021. Launching the Tree of Life Gateway. Wellcome Open Res 6: 125. PubMed ID: [34095514](#)

van Amerongen R, Nusse R. 2009. Towards an integrated view of Wnt signaling in development. Development 136(19): 3205-14. PubMed ID: [19736321](#)

Williams E, Chialvo P, Scott Chialvo C. 2026. Gene model for the ortholog of GstO3 in *Drosophila dunni*. MicroPubl Biol 2026: 10.17912/micropub.biology.002110. PubMed ID: [42294398](#)

Wodarz A, Nusse R. 1998. Mechanisms of Wnt signaling in development. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 14: 59-88. PubMed ID: [9891778](#)

Xue C, Chu Q, Shi Q, Zeng Y, Lu J, Li L. 2025. Wnt signaling pathways in biology and disease: mechanisms and therapeutic advances. Signal Transduct Target Ther 10(1): 106. PubMed ID: [40180907](#)

Zhang J, Pan Z, Sheppard A. 2017. Both canonical and noncanonical Wnt signalling may be required for detoxification following ETP class mycotoxin exposure. Toxicol Lett 271: 12-19. PubMed ID: [28193462](#)

**Funding:** This gene annotation project was funded by National Science Foundation grants DEB-1737869 (PI LKR, CoPI CSC) and DBI-2217912 (PI CSC). The Genomics Education Partnership (GEP; <https://thegep.org/>), which supports this project, is funded by the National Science Foundation (1915544; PI LKR) and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (R25GM130517; PI LKR). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are solely those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official views of the National Science Foundation nor the National Institutes of Health.

Supported by Division of Biological Infrastructure (United States) 2217912 to Clare Scott Chialvo.

Supported by Division of Environmental Biology (United States) 1737869 to Laura K. Reed, Clare Scott Chialvo.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest present.

**Author Contributions:** Brenna Peruso: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, writing - review editing. Pablo Chialvo: investigation, formal analysis, writing - review editing. Clare Scott Chialvo: conceptualization, supervision, validation, writing - original draft.

9/4/2026 - Open Access

**Reviewed By:** John Stanga

**Nomenclature Validated By:** Anonymous

**History:** **Received** August 12, 2026 **Revision Received** August 27, 2026 **Accepted** September 3, 2026 **Published Online** September 4, 2026 **Indexed** September 18, 2026

**Copyright:** © 2026 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Citation:** Peruso B, Chialvo P, Scott Chialvo C. 2026. Gene model for the ortholog of *Wnt6* in *Drosophila cardini*. microPublication Biology. [10.17912/micropub.biology.002337](https://doi.org/10.17912/micropub.biology.002337)